arXiv:math-ph/0509049v3 12 Mar 2007

$SU(2)$ symmetry breaking in quantum spin chain

Anilesh Mohari *
S.N.Bose Centre for Basic Sciences,
JD Block, Sector-3, Calcutta-98
anilesh@boson.bose.res.in
Phone:0091-33-23597907 / 919433263275
*** Department of Mathematics,**
14 MacLean Hall, The University of IOWA,
Iowa city 52242, IOWA
amohari@math.uiowa.edu
Phone: 001-319-335-1514

Date: 20th September, 2005

Abstract

Though our primary motivation is to study properties of the ground states of a Hamiltonian for quantum spin chain, in this exposition we propose a general methodology valid for a translation invariant state. To that end we study the associated Popescu systems [Po,BJKW] representing the translation invariant state and find an useful criteria for the state to be pure. As a simple consequence of this criteria we prove a surprising result which says that there exists no translation invariant real lattice symmetric and $SU(2)$-gauge invariant pure state for half-odd integer spin chain. As a consequence of this result we prove that ground state for half-odd integer spin chain is not unique for any $SU(2)$-gauge invariant translation and lattice symmetric Hamiltonian. In other words this exhibits that there is a phase transition in the ground state for anti-ferromagnetic isotropic Heisenberg half-odd integer spin chain.

1 Introduction:

It has been known in physics literature that the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ anti-ferromagnetic quantum spin chain has either slow decay of correlation or breaking down of lattice translational symmetry. This observation was mainly supported by the exact solution of integrable models. In [AL] L. Affleck and E. Lieb have shown that, for half-odd integer spin, there exists an excitation with arbitrary small energy for anti-ferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian provided the infinite volume ground state is unique. However, if higher spin systems are concerned the situation seems more complicated. In fact, Haldane argued and conjectured that the integer spin Heisenberg models have a unique ground state with spectral gap and exponential decay of spacial correlation while the half-odd integer model behaves as a massless quantum field theory. Though the original assertion of the conjecture is still an open problem, a number of mathematical results related to the conjecture appeared in the literature (see [Ma2] and reference there in) supporting the conjecture.

The seminal papers on translation invariant 'quantum Markov states' [Ac,Ma1] or 'finitely correlated states' [FNW1,FNW2] prove existence of a canonical quantum dynamical semigroup on matrix algebra. Exploring Popescu's work on representation theory of Cuntz algebra, Bratteli, Jorgensen and Price [BJP] developed a general method valid for any translation invariant state. In a follow up paper Bratteli, Jorgensen, Kishimoto and Werner [BJKW] studied associated Popescu systems and a duality argument is used to find a criteria for the state to be pure. Here we explore the criteria with an important refinement and investigate a natural covariant Popescu systems associated with a compact group and translation invariant pure state. In particular we will study $SU(2)$ group half-odd integer case in section 4 for our main result that there exists no pure translation and $SU(2)$ invariant state when the spin s (i.e. $d = 2s + 1$) is an half-odd integer.

We briefly set the standard notation and known relations in the following. The quantum spin chain we consider here is described by a **UHF** C^*-algebra denoted by $\mathcal{A} = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$. Here $\mathcal{A}$ is the C^* -completion of the infinite tensor product of the algebra $\mathbf{M}_d(C)$ of d by d complex matrices, each component of the tensor product element is indexed by an integer j. Let Q be a matrix in $\mathbf{M}_d(C)$. By $Q^{(j)}$ we denote the element $... \otimes 1 \otimes 1 ... 1 \otimes Q \otimes 1 \otimes ... 1 \otimes,,.,$ where Q appears in the j-th component. Given a subset Λ of Z, $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ is defined as the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by all $Q^{(j)}$ with $Q \in \mathbf{M}_d(C)$, $j \in \Lambda$. We also set

$$\mathcal{A}_{loc} = \bigcup_{\Lambda : |\Lambda| < \infty} \mathcal{A}_\Lambda$$

where $|\Lambda|$ is the cardinality of Λ. Let ω be a state on $\mathcal{A}$. The restriction of ω to $\mathcal{A}_\Lambda$ is denoted by ω_Λ. We also set $\omega_R = \omega_{[1,\infty)}$ and $\omega_L = \omega_{(-\infty,0]}$. The translation θ_k is an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $\theta_k(Q^{(j)}) = Q^{(j+k)}$. Thus θ_1, θ_{-1} are unital $*$-endomorphism on $\mathcal{A}_R$ and $\mathcal{A}_L$ respectively. We say ω is translation invariant if $\omega \circ \theta_k = \omega$ on $\mathcal{A}$ ($\omega \circ \theta_1 = \omega$ on $\mathcal{A}$). In such a case $(\mathcal{A}_R, \theta_1, \omega_R)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_L, \theta_{-1}, \omega_L)$ are two unital $*$-endomorphisms with invariant states. It is well known that translation invariant state ω is a factor (i.e. the GNS representation is a factor representation) if and only if $\text{limit}_{|k| \to \infty} \omega(Q_1 \theta_k(Q_2)) \to \omega(Q_1)\omega(Q_2)$ for all Q_1, Q_2 in $\mathcal{A}$. Similar statement with appropriate direction of limit is valid for ω_L, ω_R. Thus for a translation invariant factor state ω of $\mathcal{A}$, states ω_R and ω_L are factors too. Converse is also true.

However for a translation invariant pure state ω on $\mathcal{A}$, the factor state $(\mathcal{A}_R, \omega_R)$ need not be pure or even type-I in general. The unique ground state ω for XY model [Ma1] is a pure state on $\mathcal{A}$ for which $(\mathcal{A}_R, \omega_R)$ is type-III$_1$. One important related issue with the present problem is to find a useful criteria for

$(\mathcal{A}_R, \omega_R)$ to be a type-I factor? Since this problem is not directly related with the present problem and we refer to [Mo3] where a notion of detailed balance state is introduced inspired by Onsager's reciprocal relations [AcM,Mo2].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we study Popescu systems associated with a translation invariant state on Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_d$ and review 'cummutant lifting theorem' investigated in [BJKW]. In section 3 we explore a duality argument developed in [BJKW] and find a useful criteria for a translation invariant factor state factor state to be pure. This criteria is a corrected and refinement of the results obtained in [BJKW, Theorem 7.1].

Our main application of the criteria appeared in Theorem 4.2 which says that there exists no lattice symmetric translation invariant $SU(2)$-gauge invariant pure state when the spin s is a half-odd integer. In the last section we study ground states of a class of translation invariant Hamiltonians. In particular we prove that ground state for any half-odd integer spin chain with $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry is not unique. This indicates that besides Bethe's solution for ground states, there are other solutions. However our line of investigations seems to give very little information for integer spin quantum spin chain. For further investigation related to Haldane's conjecture on anti-ferromagnetic Heisenberg model, we refer to [Mo3].

Acknowledgments: The author thanks Fields Institute for an invitation to participate in a conference held at Ottawa 19th June-25th June 2005 and present the work. The author gratefully thanks Prof. Ola Bratteli and Prof. Akitaka Kishimoto for many inspiring discussion during the conference. A further boast took place when the author visited Prof. Palle E.T. Jorgensen at The University of IOWA for a week long visit. The author got inspired by several hours discussion on an earlier draft of this paper and related topics in particular the close relation between the construction of wavelets and Kolmogorov's property. The author gratefully acknowledge Prof. Ola Bratteli for strong participation and discussion held for several hours during a month long visit in June' 2006 to the Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway, where the present paper took it's final shape. The author thanks Norwegian Research Council for financial support and the Department of Mathematics for local hospitalities. The author also acknowledge one of the referees constructive report which helped in a great extent to present Theorem 3.10 in its present form.

2 States on $\mathcal{O}_d$ and the commutant lifting theorem

First we recall that the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_d (d \in \{2,3,..,\})$ is the universal C^*-algebra generated by the elements $\{s_1, s_2, ..., s_d\}$ subject to the relations:

$$s_i^* s_j = \delta^i_j 1$$

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le d} s_i s_i^* = 1.$$

There is a canonical action of the group $U(d)$ of unitary $d \times d$ matrices on $\mathcal{O}_d$ given by

$$\beta_g(s_i) = \sum_{1 \le j \le d} \overline{g^j_i} s_j$$

for $g = ((g^i_j) \in U(d)$. In particular the gauge action is defined by

$$\beta_z(s_i) = z s_i, \;\; z \in I\!\!T = S^1 = \{z \in I\!\!C : |z| = 1\}.$$

If UHF$_d$ is the fixed point subalgebra under the gauge action, then UHF$_d$ is the closure of the linear span of all wick ordered monomials of the form

$$s_{i_1}...s_{i_k}s^*_{j_k}...s^*_{j_1}$$

which is also isomorphic to the UHF$_d$ algebra

$$M_{d^\infty} = \otimes^\infty_1 M_d$$

so that the isomorphism carries the wick ordered monomial above into the matrix element

$$e^{i_1}_{j_1}(1) \otimes e^{i_2}_{j_2}(2) \otimes .... \otimes e^{i_k}_{j_k}(k) \otimes 1 \otimes 1....$$

and the restriction of β_g to UHF_d is then carried into action

$$Ad(g) \otimes Ad(g) \otimes Ad(g) \otimes ....$$

We also define the canonical endomorphism λ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ by

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} s_i x s^*_i$$

and the isomorphism carries λ restricted to UHF$_d$ into the one-sided shift

$$y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes ... \rightarrow 1 \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2....$$

on $\otimes^\infty_1 M_d$. Note that $\lambda\beta_g = \beta_g\lambda$ on UHF$_d$.

Let $d \in \{2, 3, .., , ..\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_d$ be a set of d elements. $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of finite sequences $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_m)$ where $i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ and $m \ge 1$. We also include empty set $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ and set $s_\emptyset = 1 = s^*_\emptyset$, $s_I = s_{i_1}......s_{i_m} \in \mathcal{O}_d$ and $s^*_I = s^*_{i_m}...s^*_{i_1} \in \mathcal{O}_d$. In the following we recall from [BJKW] a crucial result originated in [Po,BJP].

THEOREM 2.1: There exists a canonical one-one correspondence between the following objects:

(a) States ψ on $\mathcal{O}_d$

(b) Function $C : \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I} \rightarrow I\!\!C$ with the following properties:
(i) $C(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 1$;
(ii) for any function $\lambda : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow I\!\!C$ with finite support we have

$$\sum_{I,J \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{\lambda(I)} C(I,J) \lambda(J) \geq 0$$

(iii) $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_d} C(Ii, Ji) = C(I,J)$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$.

(c) Unitary equivalence class of objects $(\mathcal{K}, \Omega, v_1, .., v_d)$ where
(i) $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space and Ω is an unit vector in $\mathcal{K}$;
(ii) $v_1, .., v_d \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_d} v_i v_i^* = 1$;
(iii) the linear span of the vectors of the form $v_I^* \Omega$, where $I \in \mathcal{I}$, is dense in $\mathcal{K}$.

Where the correspondence is given by a unique completely positive map $R : \mathcal{O}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so that
(i) $R(s_I s_J^*) = v_I v_J^*$;
(ii) $\psi(x) = < \Omega, R(x) \Omega >$;
(iii) $\psi(s_I s_J^*) = C(I,J) = < v_I^* \Omega, v_J^* \Omega >$.
(iv) For any fix $g \in U_d$ and the completely positive map $R_g : \mathcal{O}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ defined by $R_g = R \circ \beta_g$ give rises to a Popescu system given by $(\mathcal{K}, \Omega, \beta_g(v_i), .., \beta_g(v_d))$ where $\beta_g(v_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \overline{g_j^i} v_j$.

Now we present a commutant lifting theorem (Theorem 5.1 in [BJKW]).

THEOREM 2.2: Let $v_1, v_2, ..., v_d$ be a family of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ so that $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} v_k v_k^* = I$. Then there exists a unique up to isomorphism Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a projection P on $\mathcal{K}$ and a family of operators $\{S_k :,\ 1 \leq k \leq d,\ P\}$ satisfying Cuntz's relation so that

$$P S_k^* P = S_k^* P = v_k^* \tag{2.1}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $\mathcal{K}$ is cyclic for the representation i.e. the vectors $\{S_I\mathcal{K} : |I| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{H}$.

Moreover the following hold:

(a) $\Lambda_n(P) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow \infty$;

(b) For any $D \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$, $\Lambda_n(D) \to X'$ weakly as $n \to \infty$ for some X' in the commutant $\{S_k, S_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq d\}'$ so that $PX'P = D$. Moreover the self adjoint elements in the commutant $\{S_k, S_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq d\}'$ is isometrically order isomorphic with the self adjoint elements in $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$ via the surjective map $X' \to PX'P$, where $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K}) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d} v_k x v_k^* = x\}$.

(c) $\{v_k, v_k^*,\ 1 \leq k \leq d\}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$ and equality hold if and only if $P \in \{S_k, S_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq d\}''$.

PROOF: Following Popescu [Po] we define a completely positive map $R : \mathcal{O}_d \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ by

$$R(s_I s_J^*) = v_I v_J^* \tag{2.2}$$

for all $|I|, |J| < \infty$. The representation $S_1, .., S_d$ of $\mathcal{O}_d$ on $\mathcal{H}$ thus may be taken to be the Stinespring dilation of R [BR, vol-2] and uniqueness up to unitary equivalence follows from uniqueness of the Stinespring representation. That $\mathcal{K}$ is cyclic for the representation follows from the minimality property of the Stinespring dilation. For (a) let Q be the limiting projection. Then we have $\Lambda(Q) = Q$, hence $Q \in \{S_k, S_k^*\}'$ and $Q \geq P$. In particular $QS_I f = S_I f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}$ and $|I| < \infty$. Hence $Q = I$ by the cyclicity of $\mathcal{K}$. For (b) essentially we defer from the argument used in Theorem 5.1 in [BJKW]. We fix any $D \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$ and note that $P\Lambda_k(D)P = \tau_k(D) = D$ for any $k \geq 1$. Thus for any integers $n > m$ we have

$$\Lambda_m(P)\Lambda_n(D)\Lambda_m(P) = \Lambda_m(P\Lambda_{n-m}(D)P) = \Lambda_m(D)$$

Hence for any fix $m \geq 1$ limit $< f, \Lambda_n(D)g >$ as $n \to \infty$ exists for all $f, g \in$

$\Lambda_m(P)$. Since the family of operators $\Lambda_n(D)$ is uniformly bounded and $\Lambda_m(P) \uparrow I$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, a standard density argument guarantees that the weak operator limit of $\Lambda_n(D)$ exists as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let X' be the limit. So $\Lambda(X') = X'$, by Cuntz's relation, $X' \in \{S_k, S_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq k\}'$. Since $P\Lambda_n(D)P = D$ for all $n \geq 1$, we also conclude that $PX'P = D$ by taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. Conversely it is obvious that $P\{S_k, S_k^* : \ k \geq 1\}'P \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$. Hence we can identify $P\{S_k, S_k^* : \ k \geq 1\}'P$ with $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$.

Further it is obvious that X' is self-adjoint if and only if $D = PX'P$ is self-adjoint. Now fix any self-adjoint element $D \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$. Since identity operator on $\mathcal{K}$ is an element in $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$ for any $\alpha \geq 0$ for which $-\alpha P \leq D \leq \alpha P$, we have $\alpha\Lambda_n(P) \leq \Lambda_n(D) \leq \alpha\Lambda_n(P)$ for all $n \geq 1$. By taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that $-\alpha I \leq X' \leq \alpha I$, where $PX'P = D$. Since operator norm of a self-adjoint element A in a Hilbert space is given by

$$||A|| = \inf_{\alpha \geq 0}\{\alpha : -\alpha I \leq A \leq \alpha I\}$$

we conclude that $||X'|| \leq ||D||$. That $||D|| = ||PX'P|| \leq ||X'||$ is obvious, P being a projection. Thus the map is isometrically order isomorphic taking self-adjoint elements of the commutant to self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$.

We are left to prove (c). Inclusion is trivial. For the last part note that for any invariant element D in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ there exists an element X' in $\{S_k, S_k^*, \ 1 \leq k \leq d\}'$ so that $PX'P = D$. In such a case we verify that $Dv_k^* = PX'PS_k^*P = PX'S_k^*P = PS_k^*X'P = PS_k^*PX'P = v_k^*D$. We also have $D^* \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$ and thus $D^*v_k^* = v_k^*D^*$. Hence $D \in \{v_k, v_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq d\}'$. Since $P\pi_{\hat{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_d)'P = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_\tau$, we conclude that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$. Thus equality hold whenever $P \in \{S_k, S_k^*, \ 1 \leq k \leq d\}''$. For converse note that by commutant lifting property self-adjoint elements of the commutant $\{S_k, S_k^*, 1 \leq k \leq d\}'$ is order isometric with the algebra $\mathcal{M}'$ via the map $X' \rightarrow PX'P$. Hence $P \in \{S_k, S_k^*, 1 \leq k \leq d\}''$ by

Proposition 4.2 in [BJKW]. ■

PROPOSITION 2.3: Let ψ be a state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ and $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, \pi_\psi, \Omega_\psi)$ be the GNS representation. Then the following hold unique up to unitary isomorphism:

(a) There exists a family $v_1, v_2, ..., v_d$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ with a unit vector Ω so that $\sum_{1 \le k \le d} v_k v_k^* = I$ and $\{v_I^* \Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ is total in $\mathcal{K}$;

(b) For any $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_k), J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_l)$ with $|I|, |J| < \infty$ we have $\hat{\omega}(s_I s_J^*) =< \Omega, v_I v_J^* \Omega >$ and the vectors $\{S_I f : f \in \mathcal{K},\ |I| < \infty\}$ are total in the GNS Hilbert space associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$, where $S_k = \pi_\psi(s_k)$ and $v_k^* = P S_k^* P$ for all $1 \le k \le d$ and P is the projection on the closed subspace generated by the vectors $\{S_I^* \Omega;\ |I| < \infty\}$.

Conversely given a Popescu system $(\mathcal{K}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ satisfying (a) there exists a unique state ψ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ so that (b) is satisfied.

Furthermore the following statements are valid:

(c) If the normal state $\phi_0(x) =< \Omega, x\Omega >$ on the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} = \{v_i, v_i^*\}''$ is invariant for the Markov map $\tau(x) = \sum_{1 \le k \le d} v_i x v_i^*,\ x \in \mathcal{M}$ then ψ is λ invariant and ϕ_0 is faithful on $\mathcal{M}$.

(d) If $P \in \pi_\psi(\mathcal{O})''$ then ψ is an ergodic state for $(\mathcal{O}_d, \lambda)$ if and only if $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic. In such a case $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor.

PROOF: We consider the GNS space $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, \pi_\psi, \Omega_\psi)$ associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$. Set $S_i = \pi_\psi(s_i)$ and consider the normal state ψ_Ω on $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ defined by $\psi_\Omega(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$, where for simplicity we use symbol Ω for Ω_ψ. Let P be the closed subspace generated by the vectors $\{S_I^* \Omega : |I| < \infty\}$. It is obvious that $S_i^* P \subseteq P$ for all $1 \le k \le d$, thus P is the minimal subspace containing Ω, invariant by all $\{S^*_i : 1 \le k \le d\}$ i.e.

$$PS^*_kP = S^*_kP \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the range of P as a Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{H}_\psi$,

$$v_k = PS_kP \tag{2.4}$$

for $1 \le k \le d$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be the von-Neumann algebra generated by $\{v_i, v^*_i\}$. Thus $v^*_i = S^*_iP$ and $\sum_i v_iv^*_i = \sum_i PS_iS^*_iP = P$ which is identity operator in $\mathcal{K}$. This completes the proof of (a).

For (b) we note that

$$\psi(s_Is^*_J) = \psi_\Omega(S_IS^*_J)$$

$$=< \Omega, PS_IS^*_JP\Omega >=< \Omega, v_Iv^*_J\Omega > .$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_\psi$ is spanned by the vectors $\{S_IS^*_J\Omega : |I|, |J| < \infty\}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ is spanned by the vectors $\{S^*_J\Omega = v^*_J\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$, $\mathcal{K}$ is cyclic for S_I i.e. the vectors $\{S_I\mathcal{K} : |I| < \infty\}$ spans $\mathcal{H}_\psi$. Uniqueness up to isomorphism follows as usual by total property of vectors $v^*_I\Omega$ in $\mathcal{K}$.

Conversely for a Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, v_i, \Omega)$ satisfying (a), we consider the family $(\mathcal{H}, S_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, P)$ of Cuntz's elements defined as in Theorem 2.2. We claim that Ω is cyclic vector for the representation $\pi(s_i) \rightarrow S_i$. Note that by our construction vectors $\{S_If, f \in \mathcal{K} : |I| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{H}$ and $v^*_J\Omega = S^*_J\Omega$ for all $|J| < \infty$. Thus by our hypothesis that vectors $\{v^*_J\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{K}$, we verify that vectors $\{S_IS^*_J\Omega : |I|, |J| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{H}$. Hence Ω is cyclic for the representation $s_i \rightarrow S_i$ of $\mathcal{O}_d$.

We left to prove (c) and (d). It simple to note by (b) that $\psi\lambda = \psi$ i.e.

$$\sum_i < \Omega, S_iS_IS^*_JS^*_i\Omega >=< \Omega, S_IS^*_J\Omega >$$

for all $|I|, |J| < \infty$ if and only if the vector state ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant. Let p' be the support projection in $\mathcal{M}$ for τ invariant state ϕ_0. Thus $\phi_0(1-p') = 0$ and by invariance we have $\phi_0(p'\tau(1-p')p') = \phi_0(1-p') = 0$. Since $p'\tau(1-p')p' \geq 0$, by minimality of support projection, we conclude that $p'\tau(1-p')p = 0$. Hence $p'\Omega = \Omega$ and $p'v_k^*p' = v_k^*p'$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. Thus $p'v_I^*\Omega = v_I^*\Omega$ for all $|I| < \infty$. As $\mathcal{K}$ is the closed linear span of the vectors $\{v_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$, we conclude that $p' = p$. In other words ϕ_0 is faithful on $\mathcal{M}$. This completes the proof for (c).

We are left to show (d). Ω being a cyclic vector for $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)$, the weak* limit of the increasing projection $\Lambda^k(P)$ is I. Thus by Theorem 3.6 in [Mo1] we have $(\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)'', \Lambda, \psi_\Omega)$ is ergodic if and only if the reduced dynamics $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic. Last part of the statement is an easy consequence of a Theorem of D. E. Evans [Ev], (also see Fr, Mo1, BJKW]). ∎

Before we move to next result we comment here that in general for a λ invariant state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ the normal state ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{M} = \{v_k, v_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq d\}''$ need not be invariant for τ. To that end we consider [BR2 page -] the unique KMS state $\psi = \psi_\beta$ for the automorphism $\alpha_t(s_i) = e^{it}s_i$ on $\mathcal{O}_d$. ψ is λ invariant and $\psi_|\mathrm{UHF}_d$ is the unique faithful trace. ψ being a KMS state for an automorphism, the normal state induced by the cyclic vector on $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ is also separating for $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. As $\psi\beta_z = \psi$ for all $z \in S^1$ we note that $< \Omega, \pi(s_I)\Omega >= 0$ for all $|I| \geq 1$. In particular $< \Omega, v_I^*\Omega >= 0$ where (v_i) are defined as Proposition 2.3 and thus $v_i\Omega = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Hence Ω is not separating for $\mathcal{M}$. Thus by Proposition 2.3 (c) we conclude that ϕ_0 is not τ invariant. This example also indicates that the support projection of a λ invariant state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ need not be equal to the minimal sub-harmonic projection P_0 i.e. the closed span of vectors $\{S_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.4: Let $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, \pi_\psi, \Omega)$ be the GNS representation of a λ

invariant state ψ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ and P be the support projection of the normal state $\psi_\Omega(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$ in the von-Neumann algebra $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Then the following hold:

(a) P is a sub-harmonic projection for the endomorphism $\Lambda(X) = \sum_k S_k X S_k^*$ on $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ i.e. $\Lambda(P) \ge P$ satisfying the following:
(i) $\Lambda_n(P) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow \infty$;
(ii) $PS_k^*P = S_k^*P,\ \ 1 \le k \le d$, where $S_k = \pi_{\hat{\omega}}(s_k)$;
(iii) $\sum_{1 \le k \le d} v_k v_k^* = I$ where $v_k = PS_kP$ for $1 \le k \le d$;

(b) For any $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_k), J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_l)$ with $|I|, |J| < \infty$ we have $\hat{\omega}(s_I s_J^*) =< \Omega, v_I v_J^* \Omega >$ and the vectors $\{S_I f : f \in \mathcal{K},\ |I| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\hat{\omega}}}$;

(c) The von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} = P\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''P$, acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = P\mathcal{H}_\pi$, is generated by $\{v_k, v_k^* : 1 \le k \le d\}$ and the normal state $\phi_0(x) =< \Omega, x\Omega >$ is faithful on the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$.
(d) The self-adjoint part of the commutant of $\pi_{\hat{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_d)'$ is norm and order isomorphic to the space of self-adjoint fixed points of the completely positive map τ. The isomorphism takes $X' \in \pi_{\hat{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_d)'$ onto $PX'P \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K}) = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k v_k x v_k^* = x\}$. Furthermore $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$.

Conversely let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von-Neumann algebra generated by a family $\{v_k : 1 \le k \le d\}$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ so that $\sum_k v_k v_k^* = 1$ and the commutant $\mathcal{M}' = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k v_k x v_k^* = x\}$. Then the Popescu dilation $(\mathcal{H}, P, S_k,\ 1 \le k \le d)$ described as in Theorem 2.2 satisfies the following:
(i) $P \in \{S_k, S_k^*,\ 1 \le k \le d\}''$;
(ii) For any faithful normal invariant state ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{M}$ there exists a state ψ on

$\mathcal{O}_d$ defined by

$$\psi(s_I s_J^*) = \phi_0(v_I v_J^*),\ |I|, |J| < \infty$$

so that the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \phi_0)$ is the support projection for ψ in $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ satisfying (a)-(d).

(e) ϕ_0 is a normal invariant state for τ on $\mathcal{M}$. Furthermore the following statements are equivalent:
(i) ψ is an ergodic state;
(ii) $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic;
(iii) $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor.

PROOF: $\Lambda(P)$ is also a projection in $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ so that $\psi_\Omega(\Lambda(P)) = 1$ by invariance property. Thus we have $\Lambda(P) \geq P$ i.e. $P\Lambda(I-P)P = 0$. Hence we have

$$PS_k^*P = S_k^*P \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover by λ invariance property we also note that the faithful normal state $\phi_0(x) =< \Omega, x\Omega >$ on the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} = P\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''P$ is invariant for the reduce Markov map [Mo1] on $\mathcal{M}$ given by

$$\tau(x) = P\Lambda(PxP)P \tag{2.6}$$

We claim that $\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\Lambda^n(P) = I$. That $\{\Lambda^n(P) : n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of increasing projections follows from sub-harmonic property of P and endomorphism property of Λ. Let the limiting projection be Y. Then $\Lambda(Y) = Y$ and so $Y \in \{S_k, S_k^*\}'$. Since by our construction GNS Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\hat{\omega}}}$ is generated by $S_I S_J^* \Omega$, Y is a scaler, being a non-zero projection, it is the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\psi}$.

Now it is routine to verify (a) (b) and (c). For the first part of (d) we appeal to Theorem 2.2. For the last part note that for any invariant element

D in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ there exists an element X' in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'$ so that $PX'P = D$. Since $P \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ we note that $(1-P)X'P = 0$. Now since $X' \in \{S_k, S_k^*\}'$, we verify that $Dv_k^* = PXPS_k^*P = PXS_k^*P = PS_k^*XP = PS_k^*PXP = v_k^*D$. Since $D^* \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\mathcal{K})$ we also have $D^*v_k^* = v_k^*D^*$. Thus $D \in \{v_k, v_k^* : 1 \leq k \leq d\}' = \mathcal{M}'$. Since $P\pi_{\hat{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_d)'P = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_\tau$, we conclude that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$. The reverse inclusion is trivial. This completes the proof for (d).

For the converse part of (i), since by our assumption and commutant lifting property self-adjoint elements of the commutant $\{S_k, S_k^*, 1 \leq k \leq d\}'$ is order isometric with the algebra $\mathcal{M}'$ via the map $X' \rightarrow PX'P$, $P \in \{S_k, S_k^*, 1 \leq k \leq d\}''$ by Proposition 4.2 in [BJKW]. For (ii) without loss of generality assume that $\phi_0(x) =< \Omega, x\Omega >$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and Ω is a cyclic and separating vector for $\mathcal{M}$. We are left to show that Ω is a cyclic vector for the representation $\pi(s_i) \rightarrow S_i$. To that end let $Y \in \pi_\psi)\mathcal{O}_d)'$ be the projection on the subspace generated by the vectors $\{S_IS_J^*\Omega : |I|, |J| < \infty\}$. Note that P being an element in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$, Y also commutes with all the element $P\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''P = P\mathcal{M}P$. Hence $Yx\Omega = x\Omega$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus $Y \geq P$. Since $\Lambda_n(P) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow \infty$ by our construction, we conclude that $Y = \Lambda_n(Y) \geq \Lambda_n(P) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Hence $Y = I$. In other words Ω is cyclic for the representation $s_i \rightarrow S_i$. This completes the proof for (ii).

The first part of (e) is routine. By Theorem 3.6 in [Mo1] Markov semigroup $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic if and only if $(\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)'', \Lambda, \psi_\Omega)$ is ergodic (here recall by (a) that $\Lambda_n(P) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. By a standard result [Fr, also BJKW] $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor. This completes the proof. ■

The following two propositions are essentially easy adaptation of results appeared in [BJKW, Section 6 and Section 7], stated here in our present framework. A slight variation of the following proposition is crucial for the main results (Theorem 3.4) of the next section.

PROPOSITION 2.5: Let ψ be a λ invariant factor state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ and $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, \pi, \Omega)$ be it's GNS representation. Then the following hold:
(a) The closed subgroup $H = \{z \in S^1 : \psi\beta_z = \psi\}$ is equal to $\{z \in S^1 : \beta_z \text{extends to an automorphism of } \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\}$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{O}_d^H$ be the fixed point sub-algebra in $\mathcal{O}_d$ under the gauge group $\{\beta_z : z \in H\}$. Then $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d^H)'' = \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$.
(c) If H is a finite cyclic group of k many elements and $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$ is a factor, then $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'' \bigcap \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)' \equiv \mathbb{C}^m$ where $1 \le m \le k$.

PROOF: It is simple that H is a closed subgroup. For any fix $z \in H$ we define unitary operator U_z extending the map $\pi(x)\Omega \to \pi(\beta_z(x))\Omega$ and check that the map $X \to U_z X U_z^*$ extends β_z to an automorphism of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. For the converse we will use the hypothesis that ψ is a λ-invariant factor state and $\beta_z\lambda = \lambda\beta_z$ to guarantee that $\psi\beta_z(X) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{1 \le k \le n} \psi\lambda^k\beta_z(X) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{1 \le k \le n} \psi\beta_z\lambda^k(X) \to \psi(X)$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $X \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$, where we have used the same symbol β_z for the extension. Hence $z \in H$.

Now H being a closed subgroup of S^1, it is either entire S^1 or a finite subgroup $\{exp(\frac{2i\pi l}{k}) | l = 0, 1, ..., k-1\}$ where the integer $k \ge 1$. If $H = S^1$ we have nothing to prove for (b). When H is a finite closed subgroup, we identify $[0,1)$ with S^1 by the usual map and note that if β_t is restricted to $t \in [0, \frac{1}{k})$, then by scaling we check that β_t defines a representation of S^1 in automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_d^H$. Now we consider the direct integral representation π' defined by

$$\pi' = \int^{\oplus}_{[0,\frac{1}{k})} dt \pi_{|_{\mathcal{O}_d^H}} \beta_t$$

of $\mathcal{O}_d^H$ on $\mathcal{H}_{|_{\mathcal{O}_d^H}} \otimes L^2([0, \frac{1}{k})\ )$, where $\mathcal{H}_{|_{\mathcal{O}_d^H}}$ is the cyclic space of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d^H)$ generated by Ω. Interesting point here to note that the new representation π' is (β_t)

covariant i.e. $\pi'\beta_t = \beta_t\pi'$, hence by simplicity of the C^* algebra $\mathcal{O}_d$ we conclude that

$$\pi'(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'' = \pi'(\mathcal{O}_d^H)''^{\beta_t}$$

By exploring the hypothesis that ψ is a factor state, we also have as in Lemma 6.11 in [BJKW] $I \otimes L^\infty([0,\frac{1}{k})\ ) \subset \pi'(\mathcal{O}_d^H)''$. Hence we also have

$$\pi'(\mathcal{O}_d^H)'' = \pi(\mathcal{O}_d^H)'' \otimes L^\infty([0,\frac{1}{k})\ ).$$

Since β_t is acting as translation on $I \otimes L^\infty([0,\frac{1}{k})\ )$ which being an ergodic action, we have

$$\pi'(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'' = \pi(\mathcal{O}_d^H)'' \otimes 1$$

Since $\pi'(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'' = \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'' \otimes 1$, we conclude that $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'' = \pi(\mathcal{O}_d^H)''$.

The statement (c) follows from lemma 7.12 in [BKKW]. ■

Let ω' be an λ-invariant state on the UHF_d sub-algebra of $\mathcal{O}_d$. Following [BJKW, section 7], we consider the set

$$K_{\omega'} = \{\psi : \psi \text{ is a state on } \mathcal{O}_d \text{ such that } \psi\lambda = \psi \text{ and } \psi_{|\mathrm{UHF}_d} = \omega'\}$$

By taking invariant mean on an extension of ω' to $\mathcal{O}_d$, we verify that $K_{\omega'}$ is non empty and $K_{\omega'}$ is clearly convex and compact in the weak topology. In case ω' is an ergodic state (extremal state) $K_{\omega'}$ is a face in the λ invariant states. Before we proceed to the next section here we recall Lemma 7.4 of [BJKW] in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.6: Let ω' be ergodic. Then $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ is an extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$ if and only if ψ is a factor state and moreover any other extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$ have the form $\psi\beta_z$ for some $z \in S^1$.

PROOF: Though Proposition 7.4 in [BJKW] appeared in a different set up, same proof goes through for the present case. We omit the details and refer to the original work for a proof. ■

3 Dual Popescu system and pure translation invariant states:

In this section we review the amalgamated Hilbert space developed in [BJKW] and prove a powerful criteria for a translation invariant factor state to be pure.

To that end let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von-Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and $\{v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d\}$ be a family of bounded operators on $\mathcal{K}$ so that $\mathcal{M} = \{v_k, v_k^*,\ 1 \le k \le d\}''$ and $\sum_k v_k v_k^* = 1$. Furthermore let Ω be a cyclic and separating vector for $\mathcal{M}$ so that the normal state $\phi_0(x) =< \Omega, x\Omega >$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant for the Markov map τ on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by $\tau(x) = \sum_k v_k x v_k^*$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Let ω be the translation invariant state on UHF$_d = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ defined by

$$\omega(e^{i_1}_{j_1}(l) \otimes (e^{i_2}_{j_2}(l+1) \otimes .... \otimes (e^{i_n}_{j_n}(l+n-1)) = \phi_0(v_I v_J^*)$$

where $e^i_j(l)$ is the elementary matrix at lattice sight $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We set $\tilde{v}_k = \overline{\mathcal{J} \sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}(v_k^*) \mathcal{J}} \in \mathcal{M}'$ (see [BJKW] for details) where $\mathcal{J}$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_t,\ t \in \mathbb{R})$ are Tomita's conjugation operator and modular automorphisms associated with ϕ_0.

By KMS relation [BR vol-1] we verify that

$$\sum_k \tilde{v}_k \tilde{v}_k^* = 1$$

and

$$\phi_0(v_I v_J^*) = \phi_0(\tilde{v}_{\tilde{I}} \tilde{v}_{\tilde{J}}^*) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tilde{I} = (i_n, .., i_2, i_1)$ if $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n)$. Moreover $\tilde{v}^*_I \Omega = \mathcal{J} \sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}(v_{\tilde{I}})^* \mathcal{J} \Omega = \mathcal{J} \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} v_{\tilde{I}} \Omega = v^*_{\tilde{I}} \Omega$.

Let $(\mathcal{H}, P, S_k,\ 1 \le k \le d)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, P, \tilde{S}_k,\ 1 \le k \le d)$ be the Popescu dilation described as in Theorem 2.2 associated with $(\mathcal{K}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d)$ and $\mathcal{K}, \tilde{v}_k,\ 1 \le k \le d)$ respectively. Following [BJKW] we consider the amalgamated tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ over the joint subspace $\mathcal{K}$. It is the completion of the quotient of the set

$$\mathbb{C}I \otimes \mathbb{C}\bar{I} \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

where $I, \bar{I}$ both consist of all finite sequences with elements in $\{1, 2, .., d\}$, by the equivalence relation defined by a semi-inner product defined on the set by requiring

$$< I \otimes \bar{I} \otimes f, IJ \otimes \bar{I}\bar{J} \otimes g > = < f, v_J \tilde{v}_{\bar{J}} g >,$$

$$< I \otimes \bar{I}\bar{J} \otimes f, IJ \otimes \bar{I} \otimes g > = < \tilde{v}_{\bar{J}} f, v_J g >$$

and all inner product that are not of these form are zero. We also define two commuting representations (S_i) and $(\tilde{S}_i)$ of $\mathcal{O}_d$ on $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by the following prescription:

$$S_I \lambda(J \otimes \bar{J} \otimes f) = \lambda(IJ \otimes \bar{J} \otimes f),$$

$$\tilde{S}_{\bar{I}} \lambda(J \otimes \bar{J} \otimes f) = \lambda(J \otimes \bar{J}\bar{I} \otimes f),$$

where λ is the quotient map from the index set to the Hilbert space. Note that the subspace generated by $\lambda(I \otimes \emptyset \otimes \mathcal{K})$ can be identified with $\mathcal{H}$ and earlier S_I can be identified with the restriction of S_I defined here. Same is valid for $\tilde{S}_{\bar{I}}$. The subspace $\mathcal{K}$ is identified here with $\lambda(\emptyset \otimes \emptyset \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Thus $\mathcal{K}$ is a cyclic subspace for the representation

$$s_i \otimes \tilde{s}_j \to S_i \tilde{S}_j$$

of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$ in the amalgamated Hilbert space. Let P be the projection on $\mathcal{K}$. Then we have

$$S_i^*P = PS_i^*P = v_i^*$$

$$\tilde{S}_i^*P = P\tilde{S}_i^*P = \tilde{v}_i^*$$

for all $1 \le i \le d$.

We start with a simple proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1: The following hold:
(a) The vectors $\{\tilde{v}_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{K}$ if and only the vectors $\{v_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ are total in $\mathcal{K}$;
(b) For any $1 \le i, j \le d$ and $|I|, |J| < \infty$ and $|\bar{I}|, |\bar{J}| < \infty$

$$< \Omega, \tilde{S}_{\bar{I}}\tilde{S}_{\bar{J}}^* S_i S_I S_J^* S_j^* \Omega > = < \Omega, \tilde{S}_i \tilde{S}_{\bar{I}} \tilde{S}_{\bar{J}}^* \tilde{S}_j^* S_I S_J^* \Omega >;$$

(c) The vector state ψ_Ω on

$$\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d \otimes \mathrm{UHF}_d \equiv \otimes_{-\infty}^{0} M_d \otimes_1^\infty M_d \equiv \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$$

is equal to ω;
(d) $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ if and only if $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \tau(x) = x,\ \tilde{\tau}(x) = x\} = \{zI : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

PROOF: By our construction $P\tilde{S}_i^*P\Omega = \tilde{v}_i^*\Omega = v_i^*\Omega = PS_i^*P\Omega$ for each $1 \le i \le d$. Thus (a) follows trivially as $v_I^*\Omega = \tilde{v}_{\tilde{I}}^*\Omega$ for all $|I| < \infty$. Now (b) and (c) follows by repeated application of $\tilde{S}_i^*\Omega = S_i^*\Omega$ and commuting property of the two representation $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes I)$ and $\pi(I \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)$. The last statement (d) follows from a more general fact proved below that the commutant of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ is order isomorphic with the set $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \tau(x) = x,\ \tilde{\tau}(x) = x\} = \{zI : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ via the map $X \to PXP$ where X is the weak* limit of $\{\Lambda^m\tilde{\Lambda}^n(x)$ as $(m, n) \to (\infty, \infty)$. For details let Y be the strong limit of increasing sequence of projections $(\Lambda\tilde{\Lambda})^n(P)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then Y commutes with $S_i\tilde{S}_j, S_i^*\tilde{S}_j^*$ for all $1 \le i,j \le d$. As $\Lambda(P)) \ge P$, we also have $\Lambda(Y) \ge Y$. Hence $(1-Y)S_i^*Y = 0$. As Y commutes with $S_i\tilde{S}_j$ we get $(1-Y)S_i^*S_i\tilde{S}_jY = 0$ i.e. $(1-Y)\tilde{S}_jY = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le d$. By symmetry of the argument we get $(1-Y)S_iY = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. Hence Y commutes with $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and by symmetry of the argument Y commutes as well with $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$. As $Yf = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}$, by cyclicity of the representation we conclude that $Y = I$ in $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so that $\tau(x) = x$ and $\tilde{\tau}(x) = x$ then as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we also check that $(\Lambda\tilde{\Lambda})^k(P)\Lambda^m\tilde{\Lambda}^n(x)(\Lambda\tilde{\Lambda})^k(P)$ is independent of m,n as long as $m,n \ge k$. Hence weak* limit $\Lambda^m\tilde{\Lambda}^n(x) \rightarrow X$ exists as $m,n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore $X \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'$ and $PXP = x$. That the map $X \rightarrow PXP$ is an order-isomorphic on the set of self adjoint elements follows as in Proposition 2.2. ■

Now we will be more specific in our starting Popescu systems in order to explore the representation π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)$ in the amalgamated Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. To that end let ω be a translation invariant extremal state on $\mathcal{A}$ and we fix any extremal point $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$. In the following we will eventually consider the Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k, 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ described as in Proposition 2.4 and associated dual Popescu space.

In case we consider the Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k, 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ described as in Proposition 2.3, we need an extra assumption that ϕ_0 is τ invariant in order to define the dual Popescu elements $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}', \tilde{v}_k, 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$. In such a case by our construction the common subspace $\mathcal{K} =$ closed span of$\{S_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ is cyclic for the representation π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$ in $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. For the Popescu systems described in Proposition 2.3, the set of vectors $\{S_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ being total in $\mathcal{K}$, we also conclude that Ω is a cyclic vector for the representation

π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$. Note here that $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ restricted to the Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is isomorphic with the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$. Same hold for $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ as the set of vectors $\{\tilde{v}_I^*\Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ are also total in $\mathcal{K}$.

However for the Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k, 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ described as in Proposition 2.4, as Ω is separating for $\mathcal{M}$ and thus following above we have a representation $\pi : \mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$ in the amalgamated space over the common subspace $\mathcal{K}$ associated with the support projection P of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Let $E \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes I)'', \tilde{E} \in \pi(I \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ be the support projections of the states $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ respectively where

$$\psi(s_I s_J^*) =< \Omega, S_I S_J^* \Omega >$$

and

$$\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{s}_I \tilde{s}_J^*) =< \Omega, \tilde{S}_I \tilde{S}_J^* \Omega >$$

for $|I|, |J| < \infty$. $\mathcal{H}$ being an invariant subspace of (S_i) and $\mathcal{M}' = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k v_k x v_k^* = x\}$ by Lemma 7.5 in [BJKW] we recall that $P_{|\mathcal{H}} = E_{|\mathcal{H}}$. Hence $ES_I S_J^* \Omega = PS_I S_J^* \Omega = v_I v_J^* \Omega$ for all $|I|, |J| < \infty\}$. Since Ω is cyclic for $\mathcal{M}$ and $E \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ we conclude that Ω is cyclic for the representation π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$.

It is also obvious that $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ restricted to the Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic with the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$. However same property for $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ is not immediate as Ω may not be a cyclic vector for $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ unless $\tilde{E}_{|\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = P_{||\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$. Thus this property can be ensured by Lemma 7.5 in [BJKW] applying to the dual representation $(\tilde{S}_i)$ provided we assume that $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k \tilde{v}_k x \tilde{v}_k^* = x\} = \mathcal{M}$. This additional condition is rather deep and will lead us to a far reaching consequence on the state ω. In the following we prove a crucial step for that goal.

PROPOSITION 3.2: Let ω be an extremal translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$ and ψ be an extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$. If the amalgamated representation π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$ in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of the Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d)$ are taken as in Proposition 2.4.

(a) $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. Furthermore $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ are factors and the following sets are equal:
(i) $H = \{z \in S^1 : \psi\beta_z = \psi\}$;
(ii) $H_\pi = \{z : \beta_z$ extends to an automorphisms of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\}$;
(iii) $\tilde{H}_\pi = \{z : \beta_z$ extends to an automorphisms of $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''\}$. Moreover $\pi(I \otimes \text{UHF}_d)''$ and $(\pi(\text{UHF}_d \otimes I)''$ are factors.
(b) $z \rightarrow U_z$ is the unitary representation of H in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by $U_z(\pi(s_i \otimes \tilde{s}_j)\Omega = \pi(zs_i \otimes z\tilde{s}_j)\Omega$
(c) The centre of $\pi(\text{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\text{UHF}}_d)''$ is invariant by the canonical endomorphisms $\Lambda(X) = \sum_i S_i X S_i^*$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}(X) = \sum_i \tilde{S}_i X \tilde{S}_i^*$. Moreover for each i the surjective map $X \rightarrow S_i^* X S_i$ keeps the centre of $\pi(\text{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\text{UHF}}_d)''$ is invariant. Same holds for the map $X \rightarrow \tilde{S}_i^* X \tilde{S}_i$.

PROOF: P being the support projection by Proposition 2.4 we have $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k v_k x v_k^* = x\} = \mathcal{M}'$. That $(\mathcal{M}', \tilde{\tau}, \phi_0)$ is ergodic follows from a general result [M01] (see also [BJKW] for a different proof) as $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic. Hence $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \tau(x) = \tilde{\tau}(x) = x\} = I\!\!C$. Hence by Proposition 3.1 we conclude that $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$

That both $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ are factors follows trivially as $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. By our discussion above we first recall that Ω is a cyclic vector for the representation of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)$. Let $G = \{z = (z_1, z_2) \in S^1 \times S^1 :$ β_z extends to an automorphism of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''\}$ be the closed subgroup where

$$\beta_z(s_i \otimes \tilde{s}_j) = z_1 s_i \otimes z_2 \tilde{s}_j.$$

By repeated application of the fact that $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)$ commutes with $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)$ and $S_i^*\Omega = \tilde{S}_i^*\Omega$ as in Proposition 3.1 (b) we verify that $\psi\beta_{(z,z)} = \psi$ on $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$. For $z \in H$ we set unitary operator $U_z\pi(x \otimes y)\Omega = \pi(\beta_z(z) \otimes \beta_z(y))\Omega$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_d$ and $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$. Tues we have $U_z\pi(s_i)U_z^* = z\pi(s_i)$ and also $U_z\pi(\tilde{s}_i)U_z^* = z\tilde{s}_i$. By taking it's restriction to $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ respectively we check that $H \subseteq \tilde{H}_\pi$ and $H \subseteq H_\pi$.

For the converse let $z \in H_\pi$ and we use the same symbol β_z for the extension to an automorphism of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Since λ commutes with β_z on $\mathcal{O}_d$, the canonical endomorphism Λ defined by $\Lambda(X) = \sum_k S_k X S_k^*$ also commutes with extension of β_z on $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Note that the map $\pi(x)_{|\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \pi(\beta_z(x))_{|\mathcal{H}}$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_d$ is a well defined linear $*$-homomorphism. Since same is true for $\bar{z}$ and $\beta_z\beta_{\bar{z}} = I$, the map is an isomorphism. Hence β_z extends uniquely to an automorphism of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''_{|\mathcal{H}}$ commuting with the restriction of the canonical endomorphism on $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''_{|\mathcal{H}}$ is a factor, we conclude as in Proposition 2.5 (a) that $z \in H$. Thus $H_\pi \subseteq H$. As $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ is also a factor, we also have $\tilde{H}_\pi \subseteq H$. Hence we have $H = H_\pi = \tilde{H}_\pi$ and $G \subseteq H \times H$.

For the second part of (a) we will adopt the argument used for Proposition 2.5. To that end we first note that Ω being a cyclic vector for the representation $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_d$ in the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{H}$, by Lemma 7.11 in [BJKW] (note that the proof only needs the cyclic property) the representation of UHF_d on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{H}$ is quasi-equivalent to it's sub-representation on the cyclic space generated by Ω. On the other hand by our hypothesis that ω is a factor state, Power's theorem [Po1] ensures that the state ω' (i.e. the restriction of ω to $\mathcal{A}_R$ which is identified here with UHF_d) is also a factor state on UHF_d. Hence quasi-equivalence ensures that $\pi(\text{UHF}_d \otimes I)''$ is a factor. We also note that the argument used in Lemma 7.11 in [BJKW] is symmetric i.e. same argument is also hold true for $\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$. Thus $\pi(I \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is also a factor.

As $\Lambda(E)$ commutes with $\pi(\lambda(\mathrm{UHF}_d) \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ and $\{S_iS_j^* : 1 \le i,j \le d\}$ we verify that $\Lambda(E)$ is also an element in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ as $\beta_z\Lambda = \Lambda\beta_z$. For the last statement consider the map $X \to S_i^*XS_i$. As $\beta_z(S_i^*XS_i) = S_i^*\beta_z(X)S_i$ for all $z \in G$, it preserves $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ and clearly onto. Hence all that we need to show that $S_i^*ES_i$ is an element in the commutant whenever E is an element in the centre. To that end note that $S_i^*ES_iS_i^*XS_i = S_i^*S_iS_i^*EXS_i = S_i^*XES_i = S_i^*XS_iS_i^*ES_i$. Thus onto property of the map ensures that $S_i^*ES_i$ is an element in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. This completes the proof of (c). ■

One interesting problem here how to describe the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{I}$ consists of invariant elements of the gauge action $\{\beta_z : z \in H\}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. A general result due to E. Stormer [So] says that the algebra of invariant elements are von-Neumann algebra of type-I with centre completely atomic centre. On the other hand invariant elements $\mathcal{I}$ contains $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. In the following we prove that the equality hold if and only if $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'' = \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. Moreover such equality hold if and only if ω is pure on $\mathcal{A}$. However as a first step we describe the center of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ by exploring Cuntz relation that it is also non-atomic for a factor state ω. In the following we give an explicit description.

PROPOSITION 3.3 : Let $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$ be as in Proposition 3.2 with Popescu system $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k, \Omega)$ is taken as in Proposition 2.4. If ω is a factor state $\mathcal{A}$ then the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is completely atomic and the element $E_0 = [\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)' \vee \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''\Omega]$ is a minimal projection in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ and centre is invariant for both Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Furthermore the following hold:

(a) The centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ has the following two disjoint possibilities:
(i) There exists a positive integer $n \geq 1$ such that the centre is generated by the family of minimal orthogonal projections $\{\Lambda_k(E_0) : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$ where $n \geq 1$ is the least positive integer so that $\Lambda^n(E_0) = E_0$.
(ii) The family of minimal nonzero orthogonal projections $\{E_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $E_k = \Lambda^k(E_0)$ for $k \geq 0$ and $E_k = S_I^* E_0 S_I$ for $k < 0$ where $|I| = -k$ and independent of multi-index I generates the centre. In such a case $H = S^1$ and $E_0 = E'$, where $E' = [\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''\Omega]$.

(b) $\Lambda(E) = \tilde{\Lambda}(E)$ for any E in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$
(c) If $\Lambda(E_0) = E_0$ then $E_0 = 1$.

PROOF: Let $E' \in \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'$ be the projection on the subspace generated by the vectors $\{S_I S_J^* \tilde{S}_{I'} S_{J'}^* \Omega,\ |I| = |J|, |I'| = |J'| < \infty\}$ and π_Ω be the restriction of the representation π of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ to the cyclic subspace $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ generated by Ω. Identifying $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$ we check that π_ω is unitary equivalent with π_Ω. Thus π_Ω is a factor representation.

For any projection E in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ we note that $EE' = E'EE'$ is an element in the centre of $\pi_\Omega(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. ω being a factor state we conclude that

$$EE' = \omega(E)E' \tag{3.2}$$

for any projection E in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. Thus we have

$$EE_0 = \omega(E)E_0 \tag{3.3}$$

for all element E in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. Since EE' is a projection we have $\omega(E) = \omega(E)^2$ as $E' \neq 0$. Thus $\omega(E) = 1$ or 0. So for such an element E the following is true:

(i) If $E \le E_0$ then either $E = 0$ or $E = E_0$

(ii) $\omega(E) = 1$ if and only if $E \ge E_0$

(iii) $\omega(E) = 0$ if and only if $EE_0 = 0$.

As $\Lambda(E_0)$ is a projection in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$, either $\omega(\Lambda(E_0)) = 1$ or 0. So we have either $\Lambda(E_0) \ge E_0$ or $\Lambda(E_0)E_0 = 0$. In case $\Lambda(E_0) \ge E_0$ we have $S_i^* E_0 S_i \le E_0$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. However by (i) as $S_i^* E_0 S_i$ being a non-zero projection in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$, we conclude that $E_0 = \Lambda(E_0)$.

Furthermore if $\Lambda(E_0)E_0 = 0$, we have $\Lambda(E_0) \le I - E_0$ and by Cuntz's relation we check that $E_0 \le I - S_i^* E_0 S_i$ and $S_j^* S_i^* E_0 S_i S_j \le I - S_j^* E_0 S_j$ for all $1 \le i, j \le d$. So we also have $E_0 S_j^* S_i^* E_0 S_i S_j E_0 \le E_0 - E_0 S_j^* E_0 S_j E_0 = E_0$. Thus we have either $E_0 S_j^* S_i^* E_0 S_i S_j E_0 = 0$ or $E_0 S_j^* S_i^* E_0 S_i S_j E_0 = E_0$ as $S_j^* S_i^* E_0 S_i S_j$ is an element in the centre by (b). So either we have $\Lambda^2(E_0)E_0 = 0$ or $\Lambda^2(E_0) \le E_0$. Λ being an injective map we either have $\Lambda^2(E_0)E_0 = 0$ or $\Lambda^2(E_0) = E_0$.

More generally we check that if $\Lambda(E_0)E_0 = 0, \Lambda^2(E_0)E_0 = 0, ..\Lambda^n(E_0)E_0 = 0$ for some $n \ge 1$ then either $\Lambda^{n+1}(E_0)E_0 = 0$ or $\Lambda^{n+1}(E_0) = E_0$. To verify that first we check that in such a case $E_0 \le I - S_I^* E_0 S_I$ for all $|I| = n$ and then following the same steps as before to check that $S_i^* S_I^* E_0 S_I S_i \le I - S^* E_0 S_i$ for all i. Thus we have $E_0 S_i^* S_I^* E_0 S_I S_i E_0 \le E_0$ and arguing as before we complete the proof of the claim that either $\Lambda^{n+1}(E_0)E_0 = 0$ or $\Lambda^{n+1}(E_0) = E_0$. We summarize now by saying that if $\Lambda(E_0)E_0 = 0$ then either we have $E_0\Lambda^n(E_0) = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$ or there exists an integer $n \ge 2$ so that $E_0\Lambda_k(E_0) = 0$ for all $1 \le k \le n-1$ but $\Lambda^n(E_0) = E_0$. Since $S_I^*\Omega = \tilde{S}_{\tilde{I}}^*\Omega$ we verify that $\omega(\tilde{\Lambda}^n(E_0)) = \omega(\Lambda^n(E_0))$. Thus the sequence of orthogonal projections $E_0, \tilde{\Lambda}(E_0), \tilde{\Lambda}^2(E_0), ...$ are also periodic with same period or aperiodic according as the sequence of orthogonal projections $E_0, \Lambda(E_0), ...$ By the above we summarize now by saying either $\Lambda(E_0) \ge E_0$ (hence $\tilde{\Lambda}(E_0) \ge E_0$) or the sequence of orthogonal projections $E_0, \tilde{\Lambda}(E_0), \tilde{\Lambda}^2(E_0), ...$ are also periodic with same period $n \ge 2$ or aperiodic according as the sequence of orthogonal projections $E_0, \Lambda(E_0), ...$

Let $\pi_k,\ k \ge 0$ be the representation π of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ restricted to the subspace $\Lambda^k(E_0)$. The representation π_0 of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ is quasi-equivalent to the representation π of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ restricted to E'. ω being a factor state, π_0 is a factor representation. Now we fix any $k \ge 1$ and let X be an element in the centre of $\pi_k(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$. Then for any $|I| = k$, $S^*_I X S_I$ is an element in the centre of $\pi_0(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$. Further $S^*_I X S_I = S^*_I X S_I S^* J S_J = S^*_J X S_J$ for all $|J| = |I| = k$. π_0 being a factor representation, we have $S^*_I X S_I = cE_0$ for some scaler c independent of the multi-index we choose $|I| = k$. Hence $c\Lambda_k(E_0) = \sum_{|J|=k} S_J S^*_I X S_I S^*_J = \sum_{|J|=k} S_J S^*_I S_I S^*_J X = X$ as X is an element in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$. Thus for each $k \ge 1$, π_k is a factor representation as π_0 is.

Let $\pi_k,\ k \le -1$ be the representation π of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ restricted to the subspace $S^*_I E_0 S_I$ where I is any multi-index $|I| = -k$. E_0 being an element in the centre of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$, the projection $E_k = S^*_I E_0 S_I$ is independent of the multi-index. Going along the same line as above, we verify that for each $k \le 0$, π_k is a factor representation of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$.

We note that $\Lambda(E_0)\tilde{\Lambda}(E_0) \ne 0$. Otherwise we have $< S_i\Omega, \tilde{S}_j\Omega >= 0$ for all i, j and so $< S^*_i\Omega, \tilde{S}^*_j\Omega >= 0$ for all i, j as $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ commutes with $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$. However $\tilde{S}^*_j\Omega = S^*_j\Omega$ which leads a contradiction. Hence $\Lambda(E_0)\tilde{\Lambda}(E_0) \ne 0$. As π restricted to $\Lambda(E_0)$ is a factor state we conclude that $\Lambda(E_0) = \tilde{\Lambda}(E_0)$. Using commuting property of the endomorphisms Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$, we verify by a simple induction method that $\Lambda^m(E_0) = \tilde{\Lambda}^m(E_0)$ for all $m \ge 1$. By Cuntz's relation and commuting property of two Cuntz's representation we also get from the equality $\Lambda^n(E_0) = \tilde{\Lambda}^n(E_0)$ that $S_I^* E_0 S_I = \tilde{S}_J^* E_0 \tilde{S}_J$ for any $|I| = |J| = n$.

Now we consider the case where $E_0, \Lambda(E_0), ..\Lambda^n(E_0), ..$ is a sequence of aperiodic orthogonal projection.

We set

$$E_k = \Lambda^k(E_0) \text{ for all } k \geq 1$$

and

$$E_k = S_I^* E_0 S_I \text{ for all} k \leq 1, \text{ where } |I| = -k$$

As $S_I S_J^*$ with $|I| = |J|$ commutes with E_0 being an element in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$we verify that the definition of E_k is independent of multi-index I that we choose. Further $\Lambda^n(E_0) = \tilde{\Lambda}^n(E_0)$ ensures that $S_I \tilde{S}_J^*$ commutes with E_0 for all $|I| = |J|$. Hence we get $E_k = S_I^* E_0 S_I \tilde{S}_J^* \tilde{S}_J = \tilde{S}_J^* E_0 \tilde{S}_J$ for all $|J| = |I|$. Hence we have $\Lambda(E_k) = \tilde{\Lambda}(E_k) = E_{k+1}$ for all $k \in Z$. We also claim that $\{E_k : k \in Z\}$ is an orthogonal family of non-zero projections. To that end we choose any two elements say $E_k, E_m,\ k \neq m$ and use endomorphism Λ^n for n large enough so that both $n + k \geq 0, n + m \geq 0$ to conclude that $\Lambda^n(E_k E_m) = E_{k+n} E_{k+m} = 0$ as $k+n \neq k+m$. Λ being an injective map we get the required orthogonal property. Thus $\sum_{k \in Z} E_k$ being an invariant projection for both Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ we get by cyclicity of Ω that $\sum_{k \in Z} E_k = I$.

We also set

$$U_z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n E_n, \quad z \in S^1$$

and verify that $U_z S_i U_z^* = z S_i$ and $U_z \tilde{S}_i U_z^* = z \tilde{S}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Hence $H = S^1$ as $U_z \Omega = \Omega$ for all $z \in S^1$. However cyclicity of the vector Ω for $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ ensures that $E_0 = E'$ and $E_k = \Lambda_k(E')$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $E_k = S_I^* E' S_I$ for $k < 0$, where $|I| = -k$. For an explicit proof note that the new family of projections $F_k = \Lambda^k(E')$ for $k \geq 0$ and $F_k = S_I^* E' S_I$ for all $k < 0$

are orthogonal as they are subspace of another orthogonal family E_k but their sum is an invariant projection for Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Hence by cyclicity of Ω it is equal to I. Hence we get the required equality. ■

Now we prove an important step for the central point of the main result.

PROPOSITION 3.4: Let $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$ be as in Proposition 3.3 with Popescu system $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k, \Omega)$ is taken as in Proposition 2.4. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) ω is a pure state on $\mathcal{A}$;

(b) $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'' = \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$;

(c) The von-Neumann algebra generated by $\{\beta_z : z \in H\}$ invariant elements is equal to $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$;

In such a case the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is equal to $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$.

PROOF: We will prove that (a) implies (b) , (b) implies (c) and then (c) implies (a).

Now we explore the pure property of ω to prove that each representation π_k of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ is pure. For $k = 0$ we have nothing to prove. Now fix any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let X be an element in the commutant of $\pi_k(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. If $k \geq 1$ we check that $S_I^* X S_I$ is an element in commutant of $\pi_0(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$, where $|I| = k$. Hence $S_I^* X S_I$ is a scaler independent of I as π_0 is pure. Hence as before we use commuting property of X with $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ to conclude that $X = c\Lambda^k(E_0)$ for some scaler c. If $k \leq -1$ we employ the same method with endomorphism Λ^{-k} to pull back X to an element in the commutant of $\pi_0(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. We omit the details as it is similar to what we have done in Proposition 3.3.

Hence the family of projection $\{E_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a maximal abelian algebra in the commutant of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$ and decomposes into irreducible representations. Since the family of $\{E_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is also a factor decomposition of π, commutant of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$ is generated by the family of projections $\{E_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Thus for any $X \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'$ we have $X = \sum_k c_k E_k$ and as $\Lambda(X) = X$ we get $c_k = c_{k+1}$. Hence $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. Similarly we also have $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. This completes the proof that (a) implies (b).

That (b) implies (c) follows as in the proof for Proposition 2.5. Now we assume (c). In such a case by Stormers theorem [So], $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is type-I von-Neumann algebra with centre completely atomic. The projection $E' = [\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''\Omega]$ is an invariant element and thus by (c) is an element in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. As $E' \le E_0$ and E_0 is minimal we conclude that $E' = E_0$, Hence the representation π_0 of $\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d$ i.e. the restriction of π to E' is a type-I factor representation. Hence ω is a type-I translation invariant factor state on $\mathcal{A}$. Now we refer to [BJKW, Lemma 7.13] to conclude that ω is pure. This completes the proof that (c) implies (a).

We claim that the centre is equal to $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$. As $\beta_w(U_z) = U_z$ for all $z, w \in H$, we have $U_z \in \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ by (c). Hence $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$ is a subset of the centre. Conversely if x is an element in the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ then $E_k x E_k \in \pi_k(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'$. Since each π_k is pure, we conclude that $E_k x E_k = c_k E_k$ for some c_k. Hence $x = \sum_k c_k E_k$ is an element in $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$. ∎

The following proposition is a crucial step that will be used repeatedly.

PROPOSITION 3.5: Let ω be an extremal state on $\mathcal{A}$ and ψ be an extremal element ψ in K_{ω}. We consider the Popescu elements $(\mathcal{K}, v_k : 1 \le k \le m, \mathcal{M}, \Omega)$ as in Proposition 2.4 for the dual Popescu elements and associated amalgamated representation π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$ as described in Proposition 3.1. Then the following hold:

(a) $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$;

(b) $Q = E\tilde{E}$ is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\tilde{E}$ and also in $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''E$ where E and $\tilde{E}$ are the support projections of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ respectively.

(c) If ω is pure on $\mathcal{A}$ then the following hold:

(i) $Q = P$,

(ii) $[\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\Omega] = \tilde{E}$ and $[\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''\Omega] = E$.

(d) If ω is as in (c) and then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\mathcal{M}' = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ where $\mathcal{M} = \{PS_iP : 1 \le i \le d\}''$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{P\tilde{S}_iP : 1 \le i \le d\}''$;

(ii) $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)' = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$.

PROOF: For (a) we will apply Proposition 3.1 (d). To that end let x be any element for which $\tau(x) = x = \tilde{\tau}(x)$. Since P is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ by Proposition 2.4 $x \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ is ergodic. However by a general result of Frigerio [Fr] (see also appendix of [BJKW], [Mo1] for a ready proof) $(\mathcal{M}', \tilde{\tau}, \phi_0)$ is ergodic. Hence x is scaler as $x \in \mathcal{M}'$.

By our construction in general $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'$. Before we aim to prove the reverse inclusion we state few general points now. To that end let E and $\tilde{E}$ be the support projections of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ respectively. We set von-Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}_1 = \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'E$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''E$. Note that it is enough for $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)' = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ if we prove that $\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}_1$. That it is enough follows as E being the support projection of the state on the factor $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$, we have $E \ge [\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)\Omega]$ and hence $\Lambda^n(E) \uparrow I$ as $n \to \infty$ because Ω is cyclic for $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)$ in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence two operators in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'$ are same if their actions are same on E. Further we note that $Q = E\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{N}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1$ and claim that Q is the support projection of the state ψ in $\mathcal{N}_2$. To that end let $xE \geq 0$ for some $x \in \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ so that $\psi(QxQ) = 0$. As $\Lambda^k(xE) \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\Lambda^k(E) \rightarrow I$ we conclude that $x \geq 0$. As $E\Omega = \Omega$ and thus $\psi(\tilde{E}x\tilde{E}) = \psi(QxQ) = 0$, we conclude $\tilde{E}x\tilde{E} = 0$, $\tilde{E}$ being the support projection for $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$. Hence $QxQ = 0$. As $\psi(Q) = 1$, we complete the proof of the claim that Q is the support of ψ in $\mathcal{N}_2$. Similarly Q is also the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\tilde{E}$. As $E \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\tilde{E} \in \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ we check that von-Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}_1 = Q\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''Q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 = Q\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)Q$ acting on Q satisfies $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1'$.

Now we explore that $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ and note that in such a case $Q\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''Q$ is the set of all bounded operators on the Hilbert subspace Q. As $E \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\tilde{E} \in \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ we check that together $\mathcal{M}_1 = Q\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''Q$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1 = Q\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)Q$ generate all bounded operators on Q. Thus both $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$ are factors. The canonical states ψ on $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$ are faithful and normal.

We set $l_k = QS_kQ$ and $\tilde{l}_k = Q\tilde{S}_kQ$, $1 \leq k \leq d$ and recall that $v_k = PS_kP$ and $\tilde{v}_k = P\tilde{S}_kP$, $1 \leq k \leq d$. We note that $Pl_kP = v_k$ and $P\tilde{l}_kP = \tilde{v}_k$ where we recall by our construction P is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''_{|}[\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)\Omega]$. Q being the support projection of $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)\tilde{E}$, by Theorem 2.4 applied to Cuntz elements $\{S_i\tilde{E} : 1 \leq i \leq d\}$, $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'\tilde{E}$ is order isomorphic with $\mathcal{M}_1'$ via the map $X \rightarrow QXQ$. As the projection $F = [\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\Omega] \in \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'$, we check that the element $QF\tilde{E}Q \in \mathcal{M}_1'$. However $QF\tilde{E}Q = E\tilde{E}F\tilde{E}E = QPQ = P$ and thus $P \in \mathcal{M}_1'$.

Now we aim to prove (c). To that end, going along the line of Proposition

2.5, we note that $\beta = \{\beta_z : z \in H\}$ invariant elements in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ are $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ i.e. $\{U_z : z \in H\}' = \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ and by a Theorem of E. Stormer [So] $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is type-I von-Neumann algebra with completely atomic centre. In particular the family $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$ is the centre of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. Let $U_z = \sum_k z^k E_k$ be the spectral decomposition where either $0 \le k \le n$ or $-\infty \le k \le \infty$ according as H is a cyclic subgroup of S^1 or $H = S^1$. That $E_0 = [\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''\Omega]$ follows as E_0 is a minimal projection in the centre. Hence $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ restricted to E_0 is pure.

As $\beta_z(F) = F$ for all $z \in H$, $F \in \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$. The hard part is to show now that $F \in \pi(\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$.

We claim that $E_0\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''E_0 \bigcap (E_0\pi(\mathrm{UHF})E_0)' = E_0\pi(\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''E_0$. To that end note that for each fix $m, n \ge 0$, von-Neumann algebra $\Lambda^m\tilde{\Lambda}^n(\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$ is also a type-I von-Neumann sub-algebra of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$ with centre $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$. Thus the relative commutant of $\Lambda^m\tilde{\Lambda}^n(\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)$ i.e. $\{S_IS_J^*\tilde{S}\tilde{S}^*_{J'} : |I| = |J| = m, |I'| = |J'| = n\}''$ is a type-I von-Neumann algebra with centre $\{U_z : z \in H\}''$. Hence $\{E_0S_IS_J^*E_0 : |I| = |J| = m\}''$ is type-I sub-factor of a type-I factor $\{E_0S_IS_J^*\tilde{S}\tilde{S}^*_{J'}E_0 : |I| = |J| = m, |I'| = |J'| = n\}''$ acting on the subspace E_0. As subfactors $\{E_0S_IS_J^*E_0 : |I| = |J| = m\}''$ and $E_0S_{I'}S^*_{J'}E_0 : |I'| = |J'| = n\}''$ are type-I, we conclude by a standard argument that $\{E_0S_IS_J^*\tilde{S}\tilde{S}_{J'}E_0 : |I| = |J| = m, |I'| = |J'| = n\}'' \bigcap \{E_0S_IS_J^*E_0 : |I| = |J| = m\}' = \{E_0S_{I'}S^*_{J'}E_0 : |I'| = |J'| = n\}''$. Now by taking limit $n \to \infty$ and then $m \to \infty$ we complete the proof of our claim. Same argument is valid if we replace E_0 by any E_k. Hence relative commutant of $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$ in $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is equal to $\pi(\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)'' \vee \{U_z : z \in H\}''$. Thus for each k we have $PE_k \in E_kQ\pi(\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''QE_k$ and so $P \in Q\pi(\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''Q$. As F is the strong limit of $\Lambda^n(P)$ as $n \to \infty$, we conclude that $F \in \tilde{E}\pi(\widetilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''\tilde{E}$. $\tilde{E}(\geq F)$ being the support projection of ψ in $\pi(\widetilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$, we conclude that $F = \tilde{E}$. Hence $Q = E\tilde{E} = EF = P$.

Thus Ω is a cyclic and separating vector for both $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$. As $\tilde{\Lambda}^n(P) = \tilde{\Lambda}^n(\tilde{E})E \uparrow E$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $E = [\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'\Omega] = [\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''\Omega]$ and similarly $\tilde{E} = [\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'\Omega] = [\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\Omega]$. As $E\tilde{E} = P$ and $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ is isomorphic with $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''_{|\mathcal{H}}$ we conclude that P is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Following the same steps for the dual Popescu system we conclude also that P is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''_{|\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$. This completes the proof of (c).

Now we are left to prove (d). ω being pure we have $P = Q$ by (c). That (ii) implies (i) is obvious. Now we aim to prove the converse. We appeal to the commutant lifting Theorem 2.2 for Cuntz elements $(\tilde{S}_i E)$ to conclude that the self adjoint elements in $E\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'E$ are order isomorphic with self adjoint elements of $Q\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'Q$ (here we have used that Q is the support projection of the state ψ in $\mathcal{N}_1$) which is equal to $\mathcal{M}_1$ by our assumption that $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and $P = Q$. However $Q\pi(\mathcal{O})_d''Q = \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d) \subseteq \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'$. As $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a von-Neumann algebra, we conclude by order isomorphic property that self adjoint elements in $E\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'E$ are equal to the self adjoint elements in $E\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Since both are von-Neumann algebras in their own right, we conclude $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$. This completes the proof for (ii) in (d) by our starting observation. ∎

The merit of the results in Proposition 3.5 is well understood once we note that for a factor state ω if $\mathcal{M}_1' = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$, then Ω is also cyclic for $\mathcal{M}_1$ and thus $P = Q$. However toward the end of this section we show that the canonical trace on $\mathcal{A}$ indeed gives an example where $\mathcal{M}_1'$ is not equal to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$. Thus a more reasonable question that one puts is it true for pure state ω. To that end in the following we introduce few more symmetry on ω.

Let ψ be a λ-invariant state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ be the state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ defined by

$$\tilde{\psi}(s_I s_J^*) = \psi(s_{\tilde{J}} s_{\tilde{I}}^*)$$

for all $|I|, |J| < \infty$ and $(\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\psi}}, \pi_{\tilde{\psi}}, \Omega_{\tilde{\psi}})$ be the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \tilde{\psi})$. That $\tilde{\psi}$ is well defined follows once we check by (3.1) that

$$\psi(s_{\tilde{J}} s_{\tilde{I}}^*) = \phi_0(v_{\tilde{J}} v_{\tilde{I}}^*) = \phi_0(\tilde{v}_I \tilde{v}_J^*)$$

and appeal to Proposition 2.1 by observing that cyclicity condition i.e. the closed linear span P_0 of the set of vectors $\{\tilde{v}_I^* \Omega : |I| < \infty\}$ is $\mathcal{K}$, can be ensured if not by taking a new set of Popescu elements $\{P_0 \tilde{v}_k P_0 : 1 \le k \le d\}$.

Similarly for any translation invariant state ω on $\mathcal{A}$ we set translation invariant state $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\tilde{\omega}(e^{i_1}_{j_1}(l) \otimes e^{i_2}_{j_2}(l+1) \otimes ... \otimes e^{i_n}_{j_n}(l+n-1)) = \omega(e^{i_n}_{j_n}(l) ... \otimes e^{i_2}_{j_2}(l+1) \otimes e^{i_1}_{j_1}(l+n-1))$$

Note first that the map $\psi \to \tilde{\psi}$ is a one to one and onto map in the convex set of λ invariant state on $\mathcal{O}_d$. In particular the map $\psi \to \tilde{\psi}$ takes an element from K_ω to $K_{\tilde{\omega}}$ and the map is once more one to one and onto. Hence for any extremal point $\psi \in K_\omega$, $\tilde{\psi}$ is also an extremal point in $K_{\tilde{\omega}}$. Using Power's criteria we also verify here that ω is an extremal state if and only if $\tilde{\omega}$ is an extremal state. However such a conclusion for a pure state ω seems not so obvious. For the time being we have the following useful proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.6: Let ω be an extremal translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\psi \to \tilde{\psi}$ be the map defined for λ invariant states on $\mathcal{O}_d$. Then the following hold:

(a) $\psi \in K_\omega$ is a factor state if and only if $\tilde{\psi} \in K_{\tilde{\omega}}$ is a factor state.

(b) A Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k, \Omega)$ of ψ satisfies Proposition 2.4 with $(\pi_\psi(s_k),\ 1 \le k \le d,\ P,\ \Omega)$ i.e. the projection P on the subspace $\mathcal{K}$ is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $v_i = P\pi_\psi(s_i)P$ for all $1 \le i \le d$, then the dual Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}', \tilde{v}_k, \Omega)$ satisfies Proposition 2.4 with $(\pi_{\tilde{\psi}}(s_k),\ 1 \le k \le d,\ P,\ \Omega)$ i.e. the projection P on the subspace $\mathcal{K}$ is the support projection of the state $\tilde{\psi}$ in $\pi_{\tilde{\psi}}(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\tilde{v}_i = P\pi_{\tilde{\psi}}(s_i)P$ for all $1 \le i \le d$, if and only if $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k \tilde{v}_k x \tilde{v}_k^* = x\} = \mathcal{M}$.

PROOF: Since ω is an extremal translation invariant state, by Power's criteria $\tilde{\omega}$ is also an extremal state. As an extremal point of K_ω is map to an extremal point in $K_{\tilde{\omega}}$ by one to one property of the map $\psi \to \tilde{\psi}$, we conclude by Proposition 2.6 that ψ is a factor state if and only if $\tilde{\psi}$ is a factor state. (b) follows by the converse part of the Proposition 2.4 applied to the dual Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}', \tilde{v}_k, \Omega)$. This completes the proof ■

We will show toward the end of this section that for a translation invariant factor state ω in general $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ need not be equal to $\mathcal{M}'$ and also in Proposition 3.6 (b) P need not be the support projection of the state $\tilde{\psi}$ in $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$. To that end we introduce few more additional symmetry on ω.

If $Q = Q_0^{(l)} \otimes Q_1^{(l+1)} \otimes .... \otimes Q_m^{(l+m)}$ we set $\tilde{Q} = Q_m^{(-l-m)} \otimes Q_{m-1}^{(-l-m+1)} \otimes .. \otimes Q_0^{(-l)}$ where $Q_0, Q_1, ..., Q_m$ are arbitrary elements in M_d. We define $\tilde{Q}$ by extending linearly to any $Q \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$. For a state ω on UHF$_d$ C^* algebra $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ we define a state $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ by the following prescription

$$\tilde{\omega}(Q) = \omega(\tilde{Q}) \tag{3.4}$$

Thus the state $\tilde{\omega}$ is translation invariant, ergodic, factor state if and only if ω is translation invariant, ergodic, factor state respectively. We say ω is *lattice symmetric* if $\tilde{\omega} = \omega$.

For a λ invariant state ψ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ we define as before a λ invariant state $\tilde{\psi}$ by

$$\tilde{\psi}(s_I s_J^*) = \psi(s_{\tilde{I}} s_{\tilde{J}}^*) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $|I|, |J| < \infty$. It is obvious that $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ if and only if $\tilde{\psi} \in K_{\tilde{\omega}'}$ and the map $\psi \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}$ is an affine map. In particular an extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$ is also mapped to an extremal point of $K_{\tilde{\omega}'}$. It is also clear that $\tilde{\psi} \in K_{\omega'}$ if and only if ω is lattice symmetric. Hence a lattice symmetric state ω determines an affine map $\psi \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}$ on the compact convex set $K_{\omega'}$. Thus by Kakutani fixed point theorem there exists a $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\tilde{\psi} = \psi$ (otherwise take $\frac{1}{2}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\psi}$).

PROPOSITION 3.7: Let ω be a translation invariant lattice symmetric state on $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following hold:

(a) If ω is also an extremal translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$ then there exists an extremal state $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$. Furthermore $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$ for all $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$.

(b) Let $(\mathcal{H}, S_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ be the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$, P be the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $\mathcal{K} = P\mathcal{H}$ with Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d,\ \Omega)$ as in Proposition 2.4 where $v_k = PS_kP$ and associated normal state ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{M} = \{v_k, v_k^* : 1 \le k \le d\}''$ is invariant for $\tau(x) = \sum_k v_k x v_k^*$. Let $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, S_k\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ be the Popescu minimal dilation in Theorem 2.2 of the dual Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{v}_k,\ 1 \le k \le d,\ \Omega)$ defined in Proposition 3.2. Then there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ so that

$$U^* = U, U\Omega = \Omega, \quad US_kU^* = \tilde{S}_k \tag{3.6}$$

for all $1 \le k \le d$.

(c) Furthermore if ω is pure then there exists a unitary operator $u : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ so that

$$u\Omega = \Omega, \quad uv_ku^* = \tilde{v}_k \tag{3.7}$$

for all $1 \le k \le d$ where $u\mathcal{J}u^* = \mathcal{J}$, $\ u\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}u^* = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $u^* = u$. Moreover $\mathcal{M}' = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and $u\mathcal{M}u^* = \mathcal{M}'$.

PROOF: For existence of a state $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$, we refer paragraph preceding the statement of this proposition. Now we fix any state $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$. We consider the factor decomposition of the state ψ and write $\psi = \int_r^{\oplus} \psi_r d\mu(r)$, where each ψ_r is a factor state on $\mathcal{O}_d$. By uniqueness of the factor decomposition we verify that for almost all r, ψ_r is a λ invariant and $\tilde{\psi}_r = \psi_r$. Since for an extremal state ω', $K_{\omega'}$ is a face in convex set of λ invariant states, we conclude that $\psi_r \in K_{\omega'}$ for almost all r. We choose one such extremal point ψ_r in the central decomposition. Thus there exists an extremal point $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$ whenever ω is lattice symmetric extremal translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$. As $\psi\tilde{\beta}_z = \tilde{\psi}\beta_z$ for all $z \in S^1$, a simple application of Proposition 2.6 says that $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$ for all extremal points in $K_{\omega'}$. Hence (a) is true by Krein-Millmann theorem.

Thus we define $U : \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by

$$U : S_I S_J^* \tilde{S}_{I'} \tilde{S}_{J'}^* \Omega \to S_{I'} S_{J'}^* \tilde{S}_I \tilde{S}_J^* \tilde{\Omega}$$

That U is an unitary operator follows from (3.1) and (3.3). By our construction we also have $US_k = \tilde{S}_k U$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. In particular $U\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''U^* = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$.

Now for a pure state ω by Proposition 3.5 we have $P = Q$ and hence $UPU^* = UQU^* = Q = P$ which ensures an unitary operator $u = PUP$ on $\mathcal{K}$ and a routine calculation shows that

$$uv_k^* u^* = \tilde{v}_k^* \tag{3.8}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. It is simple to verify now the following steps $uSv_Iv_J^*\Omega = uv_Jv_I^*\Omega = \tilde{v}_J\tilde{v}_I^*\Omega = F\tilde{v}_I\tilde{v}_J^*\Omega$ where $Sx\Omega = x^*\Omega$, $x\mathcal{M}$ and $Fx'\Omega = x'^*\Omega$, $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$ are the Tomita's conjugate operator. Hence $u\mathcal{J}\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{J}\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$, i.e $u\mathcal{J}u^*u\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}u^* = \mathcal{J}\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and by uniqueness of polar decomposition we conclude that $u\mathcal{J}u^* = \mathcal{J}$ and $u\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}u^* = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. As $U^* = U$ we have $u^* = u$.

We are left to prove $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}'$. It is obvious that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$. However $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}u\tilde{\mathcal{M}}u^*\mathcal{J} = u\mathcal{J}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{J}u \subseteq u\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{J}u^* = u\mathcal{M}u^* = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Note that in the third identity we have used that u commutes with $\mathcal{J}$. Hence $\mathcal{M}' = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. ■

Before we move to the main result of this section we introduce another useful concept. If $Q = Q_0^{(l)} \otimes Q_1^{(l+1)} \otimes .... \otimes Q_m^{(l+m)}$ we set $Q^t = Q^{t}{}_0^{(l)} \otimes Q^{t}{}_1^{(l+1)} \otimes .. \otimes Q^{t}{}_m^{(l+m)}$ where $Q_0, Q_1, ..., Q_m$ are arbitrary elements in M_d and $Q^t_0, Q^t_1, ..$ stands for transpose (not complex conjugate) of $Q_0, Q_1, ..$ respectively. We define Q^t by extending linearly for any $Q \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$. For a state ω on UHF$_d$ C^* algebra $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ we define a state $\bar{\omega}$ on $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ by the following prescription

$$\bar{\omega}(Q) = \omega(Q^t) \tag{3.9}$$

Thus the state $\bar{\omega}$ is translation invariant, ergodic, factor state if and only if ω is translation invariant, ergodic, factor state respectively. We say ω is *real* if $\bar{\omega} = \omega$. In this section we study a translation invariant real state.

For a λ invariant state ψ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ we define a λ invariant state $\bar{\psi}$ by

$$\bar{\psi}(s_I s_J^*) = \psi(s_J s_I^*) \tag{3.10}$$

for all $|I|, |J| < \infty$ and extend linearly. That it defines a state follows as for an element $x = \sum c(I,J) s_I s_J^*$ we have $\bar{\psi}(x^*x) = \psi(y^*y) \geq 0$ where $y = \sum \overline{c(I,J)} s_J s_I^*$. It is obvious that $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ if and only if $\bar{\psi} \in K_{\bar{\omega}'}$ and the map $\psi \to \bar{\psi}$ is an affine map. In particular an extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$ is also mapped to an extremal point in $K_{\bar{\omega}'}$. It is also clear that $\bar{\psi} \in K_{\omega'}$ if and only if ω is real. Hence a real state ω determines an affine map $\psi \to \bar{\psi}$ on the compact convex set $K_{\omega'}$. Thus by Kakutani fixed point theorem there exists a $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\bar{\psi} = \psi$ (otherwise take $\frac{1}{2}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}$).

Now we fix one such fixed point i.e. $\psi = \bar{\psi}$ and consider the factor decomposition of $\psi = \int_r^{\oplus} \psi_r d\mu(r)$ where ψ_r is a factor state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ for almost all r.

Now by uniqueness of the factor decomposition we verify that ψ_r is λ-invariant and $\bar{\psi}_r = \psi_r$ for almost all r. Since ω' is an extremal state, $K_{\omega'}$ is a face in the convex set of translation invariant states, $\psi_r \in K_{\omega'}$. We choose one such extremal point ψ_r in the central decomposition. Thus there exists an extremal point $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \bar{\psi}$ whenever ω is a real extremal translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$.

However unlike the lattice symmetric property we note here that $\overline{\psi \circ \beta_z} = \bar{\psi} \circ \beta_{\bar{z}}$ for any $z \in S^1$ and thus for a real $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$, $\psi\beta_z$ is also real if and only if $\psi\beta_{z^2} = \psi$. The closed subgroup $H = \{z \in S^1 : \psi\beta_z = \psi\}$ of S^1 is either a cyclic group of $n \geq 1$ elements or the entire S^1. For example if H is the trivial subgroup, there exists only two extremal real states in $K_{\omega'}$ when ω is an extremal state.

PROPOSITION 3.8: Let ω be a translation invariant real state on $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$. Then the following hold:
(a) There exists a state $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \bar{\psi}$. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_\psi(s_k) = S_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq d, \Omega)$ be the GNS representation of $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$, P be the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq d, \Omega)$ be the associated Popescu systems as in Proposition 2.4. Let $\bar{v}_k = \mathcal{J} v_k \mathcal{J}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$ and $(\bar{\mathcal{H}}, \bar{S}_k, P_0, \Omega)$ be the Popescu minimal dilation as described in Theorem 2.2 in associated with the systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}', \bar{v}_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq d, \Omega)$. Then there exists a unitary operator $W : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ so that

$$W\Omega = \Omega, \quad WS_kW^* = \bar{S}_k \tag{3.11}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. Furthermore P is the support projection of the state $\bar{\psi}$ in $\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and there exists a unitary operator w on $\mathcal{K}$ so that

$$w\Omega = \Omega, \quad wv_kw^* = \mathcal{J} v_k \mathcal{J} \tag{3.12}$$

for all $1 \le k \le d$ and $w\mathcal{J}w^* = \mathcal{J}$ and $w\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}w^* = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Moreover if $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor then $w^* = w$.

(b) If ω is also an extremal translation invariant state then there exists an extremal point $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \bar{\psi}$. In such a case $w^* = w$.

PROOF: We define $W : \mathcal{H} \to \bar{\mathcal{H}}$ by

$$W : S_I S_J^* \Omega \to \bar{S}_I \bar{S}_J^* \Omega$$

That W is a unitary operator follows from (3.10) and thus $WS_k = \bar{S}_k W$ for all $1 \le k \le d$.

P being the support projection we have by Proposition 2.4 that $\mathcal{M}' = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \sum_k v_k x v_k^* = x\}$ and thus $\mathcal{M} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k \mathcal{J} v_k \mathcal{J} x \mathcal{J} v_k^* \mathcal{J}\}$. Hence by the converse part of Proposition 2.4 we conclude that P is also the support projection of the state $\bar{\psi}$ in $\bar{\pi}(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Hence $WPW^* = P$. Thus we define an unitary operator $w : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ by $w = PWP$ and verify that

$$\bar{v}_k^* = P\bar{S}_k^* P$$

$$= PWS_k^* W^* P = PWPS_k^* PW^* P$$

$$= PWPv_k^* PW^* P = wv_k^* w^*.$$

We claim that

$$w\bar{v}_k^* w^* = v_k^* \tag{3.13}$$

for all $1 \le k \le d$. To that end we recall that Tomita's conjugate linear operators S, F defined as in [BR] are the closure of the linear operators defined by $S : x\Omega \to x^*\Omega$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $F : y\Omega \to y^*\Omega$ for $y \in \mathcal{M}'$. We check the following relations $wSv_I v_J^* \Omega = wv_J v_I^* \Omega = \bar{v}_J \bar{v}_I^* \Omega = F\bar{v}_I \bar{v}_J^* \Omega = Fwv_I v_J^* \Omega$ for $|I|, |J| < \infty$. Since such vectors are total, we have $wS = Fw$ on the domain of S. Thus $wSw^* = F$ on the domain of F. We write $S = \mathcal{J}\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$

as the unique polar decomposition. Then $F = S^* = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence $w\mathcal{J}w^*w\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}w^* = \mathcal{J}\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. By the uniqueness of polar decomposition we get $w\mathcal{J}w^* = \mathcal{J}$ and $w\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}w^* = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Note by (3.12) and Tomita's theorem that $w\mathcal{M}w^* = \mathcal{M}'$. However by Tomita's theorem we also have $\mathcal{J}w\mathcal{M}w^*\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}$ and as $\mathcal{J}$ commutes with w, we conclude that $w\mathcal{M}'w^* = \mathcal{M}$. Further the separating property of the vector Ω for $\mathcal{M}$ ensures that (3.13) hold if we verify the following identities:

$$w\bar{v}_k^*w^*\Omega = w\bar{v}_k^*\Omega$$

$$= w\mathcal{J}v_k^*\Omega = \mathcal{J}wv_k^*w\Omega = \mathcal{J}\bar{v}_k^*\Omega = v_k^*\Omega$$

Thus $w\bar{v}_k^*w^* = v_k^*$. Hence $w^2 \in \mathcal{M}'$ and as w commutes with $\mathcal{J}$, $w^2 \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus for a factor $\mathcal{M}$, w^2 is a scaler. Since $w\Omega = \Omega$ we get $w^* = w$. This completes the proof of (a).

A proof for existence of an extremal element in $K_{\omega'}$ is given in the preceding paragraph of this proposition. ■

A state ω on $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}M_d$ is said be in detailed balance if ω is both lattice symmetric and real.

PROPOSITION 3.9: Let ω be a translation invariant extremal lattice symmetric state on the UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}M_d$. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) ω is in detailed balance;
(b) There exists an extremal element $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\tilde{\psi} = \psi$ and $\bar{\psi} = \psi$.

Furthermore if ω is a pure state then the following hold:
(c) There exists a Popescu elements $(\mathcal{K}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ so that $\omega = \omega_v$ with $v_k = \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J}$ for all $1 \le k \le d$.

(d) The map $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by $\pi(s_I s_J^* \tilde{s}_{I'} \tilde{s}_{J'}^*)\Omega \to \pi(s_{J'} s_{I'}^* \tilde{s}_J \tilde{s}_I)\Omega,\ |I|, |J|, |I'|, |J'| < \infty$ extends the map $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ to an anti-unitary map so that $\mathcal{J}\pi(s_i)\mathcal{J} = \pi(\tilde{s}_i)$ for all $1 \le i \le d$.

PROOF: Since ω is symmetric, by Proposition 3.7 $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$ for all $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$. Thus by Proposition 3.8 there exists an extremal element $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \hat{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}$. This proves that (a) implies (b). That (b) implies (a) is obvious.

Now we aim to prove the last statement. To that end we fix an extremal point $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ so that $\psi = \tilde{\psi} = \bar{\psi}$. We consider the Popescu system $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ as in Proposition 2.4 associated with ψ. Thus by Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.8 there exists unitary operators u, w on $\mathcal{K}$ so that

$$uv_ku^* = \tilde{v}_k$$

$$wv_kw^* = \mathcal{J}v_k\mathcal{J}$$

where $u^* = u,\ \ u\mathcal{J}u^* = \mathcal{J}$, $w^* = w\ w\mathcal{J}w^* = \mathcal{J}$ and $u\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}u^* = w\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}w^* = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus

$$uwv_kw^*u^* = \mathcal{J}uv_ku^*\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J} \tag{3.14}$$

By Theorem 3.2 for a factor state ω we also have $\mathcal{M} \vee \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. As $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$, in particular we note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor. We also note that

$$wuv_ku^*w^* = w\tilde{v}_kw^* = \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J} \tag{3.15}$$

So $u^*w^*uw \in \mathcal{M}'$ commuting also with $\mathcal{J}$ and thus a scaler as $\mathcal{M}$ being a factor. As $u\Omega = w\Omega = \Omega$, we conclude that u commutes with w. So $v = uw$ is a self-adjoint and unitary operator commuting with both $\mathcal{J}$ and $\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. That v commuting with $\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ follows as $uw\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = u\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}w = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}uw$. $\mathcal{M}$ being a factor $u^2 = v^2 = 1$ and thus $v^2 = 1$ i.e. $v^* = v$.

Let θ be an unitary element in $\mathcal{M}'$ and by (3.14) we also have

$$\theta v\theta^* v_k \theta v\theta^* = \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J} \tag{3.16}$$

By symmetry we also have

$$\theta v\theta^* \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J}\theta v\theta^* = v_k \tag{3.17}$$

The automorphism $\alpha_\theta : x \to \theta v\theta^* x\theta v\theta^*$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is independent of θ and equal to $\alpha_1(x) = vxv$. Since the automorphism α_1 preserves ϕ_0, it commutes with Tomita's modular automorphism group and conjugation action. Thus in particular (3.17) can be rewritten as

$$\theta v\theta^* \tilde{v}_k \theta v\theta^* = \mathcal{J}v_k\mathcal{J} \tag{3.18}$$

Thus the unitary operator $v^*\theta v\theta^*$ commutes with both $\{v_k : 1 \le k \le d\}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_k : 1 \le k \le d\}$. ω being a factor state by Proposition 3.2 and our starting remark we have $\mathcal{M} \vee \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and thus $\theta v\theta^* = \mu v$ where μ is a scaler of modulus 1. However $v^* = v$ and so we get $\mu = \bar{\mu} = 1$. θ being an arbitrary unitary element in $\mathcal{M}'$, we conclude that $v \in \mathcal{M}$. As $v = \mathcal{J}v\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor, v is a scaler multiple of 1. As $v\Omega = \Omega$, we get $v = 1$. This completes the proof for (c). The last statement (d) follows by a routine calculation. ■

THEOREM 3.10: Let ω be a translation invariant lattice symmetric factor state on $\mathcal{A}$ and ψ be an extremal point $K_{\omega'}$. We consider the representation π of $\mathcal{O}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d$ described as in Proposition 3.2. Then the following hold:

(a) If ω is pure then we have the following duality relation

$$\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_R)'' = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}_L)'$$

where $\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R$ are von-Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ defined as in section 1.

(b) If ω is also real then $H \subseteq \{1, -1\}$;

PROOF: For (a) we refer to the proof of Proposition 3.5 where we have shown that $E_0\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)E_0 \bigcap (E_0\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)E_0)' = E_0\pi(\tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''E_0$. For (b) we recall that by Proposition 3.9 we have an extremal element ψ so that associated Popescu elements satisfies the following:

$$v_k = \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J} = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}v_k^*\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $1 \le k \le d$. As $\beta_z(v_k) = zv_k$ for all $z \in H$ and $\beta_z(\tilde{v}_k) = z\tilde{v}_k$ for all $z \in H$, we conclude that $z^2 = 1$ for all $z \in H$. ■

The rest of the results in this section is not directly related with the main application discussed in section 4 and section 5. Partly it is motivated to highlight what we have gained compare to results obtained in [BJKW]. Before we proceed to the next section, here we briefly review and refine the main result obtained in Section 7 of [BJKW]. As before let ω be an extremal translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$ and ψ be an extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$. Let P be the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ and consider Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$ as defined in Proposition 2.4. Thus we have $\mathcal{M}' = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k v_k x v_k^* = x\}$ by Proposition 2.4 (d). However it is not automatic that the dual Popescu systems $(\mathcal{K}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{v}_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \Omega)$ satisfy $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}' = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k \tilde{v}_k x \tilde{v}_k^* = x\}$. In fact by reviewing the main result in [BJKW, Theorem 7.1] we prove here that the property hold if and only if ω is a pure state modulo an additional assumption that ω is lattice symmetric. In the following we give the detailed of the result.

THEOREM 3.11: Let ω be a translation invariant lattice symmetric extremal state on $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) ω is pure.

(b) We fix any extremal state in $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ and associated elements $(\mathcal{H}, S_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, P, \Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{K},\ \mathcal{M},\ v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \phi_0)$ described as in Proposition 2.4.

We consider the dual Popescu elements $(\mathcal{K}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{v}_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \phi_0)$ and its dilated Cuntz's elements $(\tilde{S}_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, P, \Omega)$ as in Theorem 2.2. Then the following equivalent statements are true:
(i) $P \in \{\tilde{S}_i, \tilde{S}_i^* : 1 \le i \le d\}''$;
(ii) $\mathcal{M} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : \sum_k \tilde{v}_k x \tilde{v}_k^* = x\}$.

PROOF: That (a) implies (b) follows from Proposition 3.4 irrespective weather ω is lattice symmetric or not lattice symmetric. However for the converse the condition (i) ensures that P is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$. Hence $P = \tilde{E}\tilde{F}$ where $\tilde{F} = [\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''\Omega]$. We recall from Proposition 3.7 that a lattice symmetric factor state ensures that $UEU^* = \tilde{E}$ and $UFU^* = \tilde{F}$ and thus $UPU^* = P$. Hence $u\mathcal{M}u^* = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ where $u = PUP$ as in Proposition 3.7 (c). Now following the same step as in Proposition 3.7 (c) we conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M}'$. Hence by Proposition 3.5 (d) we have $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'' = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'$. β_z invariant elements in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ is $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$ and as $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$ is a factor by Arveson's spectral theorem $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'' \bigcap \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'$ is a commutative algebra (see also Lemma 7.12 in [BJKW]). Hence its commutant i.e. $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)' \vee \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)'' = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)'' \vee \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$ is a type-I von-Neumann algebra. Thus β_z invariant elements i.e. $\pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d \otimes \tilde{\mathrm{UHF}}_d)''$ is a type-I von-Neumann algebra by Proposition 7.14 in [BJKW]. Thus ω is a type-I translation invariant state on $\mathcal{A}$. Now we appeal to Lemma 7.13 in [BJKW] to complete the proof that (a) and (b) are equivalent. ■

The results (b) implies (a) in Theorem 3.11 are in a sense a corrected and generalized version of Theorem 7.11 in [BJKW]. Purity of the state is also related to Kolmogorov's property [Mo1] of the canonical Markov semigroup $(\mathcal{M}, \tau, \phi_0)$ appeared in Proposition 2.4. For more details and related results we refer to [Mo2].

The merit of the results in Proposition 3.5 is well understood once we notice that for a factor state ω if $\mathcal{M}_1' = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$, then Ω is also cyclic for $\mathcal{M}_1$ and thus $P = Q$. Thus for a lattice symmetric factor state, we have $UPU^* = P$. Thus P is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d)''$ when restricted to the cyclic subspace generated by Ω. Hence by Theorem 3.11 such a situation ensures that ω is a pure state. As the canonical trace on $\mathcal{A}$ is a lattice symmetric factor state, we conclude that in general $\mathcal{M}_1'$ need not be equal to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$. A similar argument also says that in general $\mathcal{M}'$ need not be equal to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. The lattice symmetric property of a pure state ω is an elegant sufficient condition in order to guarantee that $\mathcal{M}_1' = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_1$. However it remains an open question weather pure property itself is enough to ensure the equality.

4 Gauge invariant and translation invariant pure states:

Let G be a compact group and $g \rightarrow v(g)$ be a $d-$dimensional unitary representation of G. By γ_g we denote the product action of G on the infinite tensor product $\mathcal{A}$ induced by $v(g)$,

$$\gamma_g(Q) = (.. \otimes v(g) \otimes v(g) \otimes v(g)...)Q(... \otimes v(g)^* \otimes v(g)^* \otimes v(g)^*...)$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{A}$. We recall now that the canonical action of the group $S(d)$ of $d \times d$ matrices on $\mathcal{O}_d$ is given by

$$\beta_{v(g)}(s_j) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} s_i v(g)^i_j$$

and thus

$$\beta_{v(g)}(s_j^*) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} \bar{v(g)}^i_j s_i^*$$

Note that $v(g)|e_i >< e_j|v(g)^* = |v(g)e_i >< v(g)e_j| = \sum_{k,l} v(g)^l_i \overline{v(g)}^k_j |e_l >< e_k|$, where $e_1,..,e_d$ are the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^d$. Identifying $|e_i >< e_j|$ with $s_i s_j^*$ we verify that on $\mathcal{A}_R$ the gauge action $\beta_{v(g)}$ of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_d$ and γ_g coincide i.e. $\gamma_g(Q) = \beta_{v(g)}(Q)$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{A}_R$.

PROPOSITION 4.1: Let ω be a translation invariant factor state on $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that ω is $G-$invariant,

$$\omega(\gamma_g(Q)) = \omega(Q) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and any } Q \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let ψ be an extremal point in $K_{\omega'}$ and $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, v_k,\ 1 \le k \le d, \phi_0)$ be the Popescu system associated with $(\mathcal{H}, S_i = \pi(s_i), \Omega)$ described as in Proposition 2.4. Then we have the following:

(a) There exists a unitary representation $g \to U(g)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and a representation $g \to \zeta(g)$ so that

$$U(g)S_iU(g)^* = \zeta(g)\beta_{v(g)}(S_i),\ 1 \le i \le d \tag{4.1}$$

for all $g \in G$ and

(b) There exists a unitary representation $g \to u(g)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so that $u(g)\mathcal{M}u(g)^* = \mathcal{M}$ for all $g \in G$ and $\phi_0(u(g)xu(g)^*) = \phi_0(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Furthermore the operator $V^* = (v_1^*,..,v_d^*)^{tr} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an isometry which intertwines the representation of G,

$$(\zeta(g)v(g) \otimes u(g))V^* = V^*u(g) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $g \in G$, where $g \to \zeta(g)$ is representation of G in $U(1)$.

(c) $\mathcal{J}u(g)\mathcal{J} = u(g)$ and $\Delta^{it}u(g)\Delta^{-it} = u(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

(d) Furthermore if ω is also pure real lattice symmetric and the family $\{v_k : 1 \le k \le d\}$ operators are linearly independent (i.e. $\sum_k c_k v_k = 0$ if and only if $c_k = 0$ for all $1 \le k \le d$). Then $g \to \zeta(g)v(g)$ has a matrix representation with respect to an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{C}^d$ so that each entries are real.

PROOF: ω being a factor state by Proposition 3.3, H is a closed subgroup of S^1. Thus H is either S^1 or a finite cyclic subgroup. We also recall that $\lambda\beta_g = \beta_g\lambda$ for all $g \in G$ and ω being G-invariant we have $\psi\beta_g \in K_{\omega'}$ for all $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ and $g \in G$.

In case $H = S^1$, by Proposition 2.6 $K_{\omega'}$ is having a unique element and thus by our starting remark we have $\psi\beta_g = \psi$ for the unique extremal element $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$. In such a case we define unitary operator $U(g)\pi(x)\Omega = \pi(\beta_g(x))\Omega$ and verify (a) with $\zeta(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Now we are left to deal with the more delicate case. Let $H = \{z : z^n = 1\}''$ for some $n \geq 1$. In such a case by Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 3.2 (a) we have $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d^H)'' = \pi(\mathrm{UHF}_d)''$ and $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_d^H)'' = \pi(\mathrm{U\tilde{H}F}_d)''$. Thus for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ orthogonal projection E_k is spanned by the vectors $\{\tilde{S}_{I'}\tilde{S}^*_{J'}S_IS^*_JS^*_K\Omega : |I'| = |J'|, |I| = |J|, \text{ and } |K| = k\}$. We set unitary operator $U(g)'$ on $E_k : k \geq 0$ by

$$U(g)'\pi(\tilde{s}_{I'}\tilde{s}^*_{J'}s_Is^*_Js^*_K)\Omega = \pi(\beta_{v(g)}(\tilde{s}_{I'}\tilde{s}^*_{J'}s_Is^*_Js^*_K))\Omega$$

where $|I'| = |J'|, |I| = |J|$ and $|K| = k$. It is a routine work to check that $U(g)'$ is indeed an inner product preserving map on the total vectors in E_k. The family $\{E_k : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$ being an orthogonal family projection with $\sum_k E_k = I$, $U(g)'$ extends uniquely to an unitary operator on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{H}$. It is obvious by our construction that $g \to U(g)'$ is a representation of G in $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

For each $g \in G$ the Popescu element $(\mathcal{H}, \beta_{v(g)}(S_k), 1 \leq k \leq d,\ \Omega)$ determines an extremal point $\psi_g \in K_{\omega'}$ and thus by Proposition 2.6 there exists a complex number $\zeta(g)$ with modulus 1 so that $\psi_g = \psi\beta_{\zeta(g)}$. Note that for another such a choice $\zeta'(g)$, we have $\zeta(\bar{g})\zeta(g)' \in H$. As H is a finite cyclic subgroup of S^1, we have a unique choice once we take $\zeta(g)$ to be an element in the group S^1/H which we identify with S^1. That $g \to \zeta(g)$ is a representation of G in $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ follows as the choice in S^1/H of $\zeta(g)$ is unique. Hence there exists an unitary operator $U(g)$ and a representation $g \rightarrow \zeta(g)$ in S^1 so that

$$U(g)\Omega = \Omega,\ U(g)S_iU(g)^* = \zeta(g)\beta_{v(g)}(S_i)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Thus $U(g) = \sum_k \zeta(g)^k U(g)' E_k$ for all $g \in G$ as their actions on any typical vector $S_IS_J^*S_K\Omega,\ |I| = |J|, |K| = k < \infty$ are same. Both $g \rightarrow U'(g)$ and $g \rightarrow \zeta(g)$ being representations of G, we conclude that $g \rightarrow U(g)$ is an unitary representation of G.

The above covariance relation ensures that $U(g)\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''U(g)'' = \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ for all $g \in G$ and thus also $U(g)\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'U(g)^* = \pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'$ for all $g \in G$. Now it is also routine to check that $U(g)FU(g)^* = F$, where $F = \{\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''\Omega]$ and $U(g)EU(g)^* = E$ where $E = [\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'\Omega]$ is the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. Hence the support projection $P = EF$ of the state ψ in the cyclic subspace F is also G invariant i.e. $U(g)PU(g)^* = P$ for all $g \in G$. Thus we define $g \rightarrow u(g) = PU(g)P$ a unitary representation of g in $\mathcal{K}$. Hence we have $u(g)v_ju(g)^* = \zeta(g)\beta_{v(g)}(v_j) = \zeta(g)v_iv(g)^i_j$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. By taking adjoint we get $u(g)v_j^*u(g)^* = \zeta(\bar{g})v(\bar{g})^i_jv_i^*$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$.

We are now left to prove (c). To that end we first verify that $S_0u(g) = u(g)S_0$ as their actions on any typical vector $v_Iv_J^*\Omega$ are same, where $S_0x\Omega = x^*\Omega$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence by uniqueness of the polar decomposition we conclude that (c) hold.

For (d) we fix an extremal element $\psi \in K_{\omega'}$ as described in Proposition 3.9 so that $\psi = \tilde{\psi} = \bar{\psi}$ and consider the Popescu elements $\{v_k, 1 \leq k \leq d\}$. Then we have $v_k = \mathcal{J}\tilde{v}_k\mathcal{J}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq k$. By the covariance relation we have $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d}[\zeta(g)v^i_j(g) - \zeta(g)\bar{v}^i_j(g)]v_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. By linear independence we conclude that there exists an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^d$ so that the matrix representation $(\zeta(g)v^i_j(g))$ of $\zeta(g)v(g)$ with respect to the basis having real entries. ■

In the following we choose $G = SU(2)$ and explore the covariant representation of G obtained in Proposition 4.1 for a translation invariant pure lattice symmetric real state ω with an additional hypothesis that $g \rightarrow v(g)$ is an irreducible representation of G on $I\!\!C^d$. $SU(2)$ being a simply connected Lie group, $g \rightarrow \zeta(g)$ is the trivial representation.

THEOREM 4.2: Let ω be a state on $\mathcal{A} = \otimes_{Z\!\!Z} M_d$ and d be an even integer. Then at least one of the following three statements is not valid:

(a) ω is lattice symmetric real translation invariant;

(b) ω is pure;

(c) ω is a β_g invariant state where $g \rightarrow v(g)$ is an irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ in $I\!\!C^d$.

PROOF: Note that the vectors $((\phi_0(v_i^* v_j)))$ and $< \Omega, S_i^* S_j \Omega >$ are two invariant elements for the representation of $g \rightarrow \bar{u(g)} \otimes u(g)$. By Clebsch-Gordon Theorem for $SU(2)$ invariant subspace for the representation $g \rightarrow \bar{u(g)} \otimes u(g)$ is one dimensional and thus there exists a scaler μ so that $\phi_0(v_i^* v_j) = \mu \delta^i_j$. That $\mu > 0$ follows as Ω is separating for $\mathcal{M}$. Hence the family $\{v_i : 1 \leq k \leq d\}$ is linearly independent i.e. $\sum_k c_k v_k = 0$ hold if and only if $c_k = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$.

We will prove it by contradiction. Suppose not and ω be a state satisfying (a) (b) and (c). By Proposition 4.1 (d) we conclude that there exists an orthonormal basis for $I\!\!C^d$ so that the matrix representation $(v^i_j(g))$ of $v(g)$ with respect to the basis are having real entries. We claim such an irreducible representation with real entries is possible if and only if d is an odd integer. To that end we first prove the following Lemma.

LEMMA 4.3: Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of non-negative half odd integers and integers and $\mathcal{S}_r = \{s \in \mathcal{S} :$ there exists a $2s+1$ dimensional irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ which admits a matrix representation with real entries $\}$. If $s \le t \in \mathcal{S}_r$ then $t-s, t-s+1, ..., t+s \in \mathcal{S}_r$.

PROOF: Let $g \rightarrow v_s(g)$ be an irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ for $s \in \mathcal{S}$, then by Clebsch-Gordon theorem for any $s \le t \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$v_s(g) \otimes v_t(g) \equiv v_{t-s}(g) \oplus v_{t-s+1}(g) \oplus .. \oplus v_{t+s}(g)$$

Now in case $s, t \in \mathcal{S}_r$ then $v_s(g) \otimes v_t(g)$ also admits a matrix representation with real entries and restricting to each invariant subspace over real scalers we check that $g \rightarrow v_{t-s+k}(g)$ admits a real matrix representation. ■

It is obvious that $s = 0 \in \mathcal{S}_r$. However $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is not an element in $\mathcal{S}_r$ as all unitary matrix with unit determinant and real entries in $2s+1 = 2$ give only a representation of one torus $U(1)$, a proper subgroup of $SU(2)$. By taking usual rotation in $I\!R^3$ we check that $1 \in \mathcal{S}_r$. Now iterating Lemma 4.3 we check that $s \in \mathcal{S}_r$ provided s is an integer. We have already noted that $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is not an element in $\mathcal{S}_r$. If s is any odd integer and $s \in \mathcal{S}_r$ then by Lemma 4.3 $s - [s] = \frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{S}_r$ which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. ■

We are left to deal with another class of example. Let ω be a translation invariant pure state on $\mathcal{A} = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_2$. If ω is $G = U(1) \subseteq SU(2)$ invariant then by a Theorem [Ma2] ω is either a product state or a non-split state. The following theorem says more when ω is also real and lattice symmetric. The following theorem is originated from one of the referees remark.

THEOREM 4.4: Let ω be a translation invariant pure state on $\mathcal{A} = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_2$ which also be lattice symmetric and real. If ω is also $U(1) \subseteq SU(2)$ invariant then following hold:
(a) ω is a non-split state if and only if $H = \{1, -1\}$;
(b) ω is a split state (hence product state) if and only if $H = \{1\}$.

PROOF: Assume for the time being that $\{v_0, v_1\}$ are linearly independent. In such a case by Proposition 4.1 there exists a representation $z \to u_z$ in $\mathcal{K}$ of $U(1)$ so that $u_z v_0 u_z^* = \zeta(z) z v_0$ and $u_z v_1 u_z^* = \zeta(z) \bar{z} v_1$ where $z \to \zeta(z) = z^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. ω being lattice symmetric and real, by Proposition 4.1 (d) $z^k v(z)$ has a matrix representation with real entries, where $z \to v(z)$ is a representation of $U(1) \subseteq SU(2)$. Thus $((z^k v^i_j(z))) = ((z^{-k} \overline{v^i_j}(z)))$ for all $z \in S^1$. Hence $z^{2k} I = ((v^i_j(z))^{-1}((\overline{v^i_j}(z)))$ where the right hand side is a multiple of two elements in $SU(2)$. By taking determinants of both the side we conclude that $z^{4k} = 1$ for all $z \in S^1$. Hence $k = 0$. Now by $U(1) \subseteq SU(2)$ invariance we also check that $\psi(s_I s_J^*) = 0$ if $|I| + |J|$ is not a multiple of 2. Further as $\psi(\beta_z(s_I s_J^*)) = z^{|I|-|J|}\psi(s_I s_J^*)$ and $|I| - |J| = |I| + |J| - 2|J|$ is a multiple of 2 whenever $|I| + |J|$ is an even number, we check that $-1 \in H$. By Theorem 3.10 $H \subseteq \{1, -1\}$ and thus we conclude that $H = \{-1, 1\}$ whenever $\{v_0, v_1\}$ are linearly independent. In such a case ω is a non-split state.

Now we consider the case where $\{v_0, v_1\}$ are linearly dependent. We assume without loss of generality that $v_0 \neq 0$ and $v_1 = \alpha v_0$. $v_0 v_0^* + v_1 v_1^* = 1$ ensures that $(1 + |\alpha|^2) v_0 v_0^* = 1$. Thus $v_i v_j^* = \phi_0(v_i v_j^*)$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 1$. Hence $\omega(|e_{i_1} >< e_{j_1}| \otimes ... \otimes |e_{i_n} >< e_{j_n}|) = \phi_0(v_I v_J^*) = \phi_0(v_{i_1} v_{j_1}^*)...\phi_0(v_{i_n} v_{j_n}^*)$. This clearly shows that ω is a product state. ω being pure, we conclude that there is an extremal point ψ so that the associated Popescu elements are given by $v_0 = 1$ and $v_1 = 0$. In such a case ω is a split state and $H = \{1\}$.

Thus we can sum up from the above argument that ω is a split (non-split) state if and only if $H = \{1\}$ $(H = \{1, -1\})$. ■

5 Ground states of a Hamiltonian:

In this section we essentially recall known general theory where translation invariant states are constructed as infinite volume ground states of spin models and illustrate implication of our result to few well known examples. We begin with explaining the mathematical physical definition of ground states (for more details, see [Ru,Sa,BR2]).

We present in the following a standard criteria for definition of a ground states. To that end we consider a translation invariant Hamiltonian with finite range interaction. For simplicity we assume that $h_0 = h_0^* \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$ and consider the finite volume Hamiltonian

$$H_{[m,n]} = \sum_{n-1 \le j \le m} \theta_j(h_0).$$

The formal infinite volume limit of these Hamiltonian is denoted by

$$H = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_j(h_0) \tag{5.1}$$

The time evolution of $\alpha_t(Q)$ of $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ is obtained via the thermodynamic limit

$$\alpha_t(Q) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}} e^{itH_\Lambda} Q e^{-itH_\Lambda}.$$

For more details we refer readers to any standard text [Ru,Sa,BR vol-2].

A state ω on $\mathcal{A}$ is called invariant by (α_t) if $\omega(\alpha_t(Q)) = \omega(Q)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{A}$.

DEFINITION 5.1: Let ω be a state on $\mathcal{A}$. We say ω is a *ground state* for the Hamiltonian H (formally given by (5.1)) if and only if

$$\omega(Q^*[H,Q]) \geq 0 \text{ for any } Q \in \mathcal{A}_{loc} \tag{5.2}$$

A translation invariant state ω is a ground state if and only if ω minimizes the mean energy i.e.

$$\omega(h_0) = \inf \psi(h_0) \tag{5.3}$$

where infrimum is taken over all translation invariant states ψ on $\mathcal{A}$. The set of ground states are weakly compact convex set [Sa,BR vol-2] in the state space of $\mathcal{A}$ and its extremal points are pure states. It is simple to verify that ω is a ground state for H if and only if $\omega_g(x) = \omega(\gamma_g(x))$ is a ground state for $\gamma_g(H)$ for each $g \in G$. We say H is G invariant if $\gamma_g(H) = H$ for all $g \in G$. H is *lattice symmetric* if $\tilde{H} = H$ and H is *real* if $H = H^t$, where t represents real transpose as in section 3.

THEOREM 5.2: Let H be γ_g-invariant and real lattice symmetric where γ_g is defined as in Proposition 4.1 for $g \in SU(2)$. Then ground state for H is not unique for half-odd integer spin chain i.e. $d = 2s + 1$ and $s = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, ...$ Moreover the set of ground states are not a Choquet simplex.

PROOF: Suppose not and ω be the unique ground state for (α_t). Then ω is a pure as extremal ground states are pure [BR-vol-2]. By uniqueness ω is also lattice symmetric translation and $SU(2)$ -invariant state as H is so. This contradicts Theorem 4.2 as spin s is a half-odd integer.

The last part is a routine work. By compactness of the ground states, any limit point of $\{\omega_n : \omega_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \omega(\theta^k(x)),\ x \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a translation invariant state. Moreover the limit points are also ground states if ω is a ground states. For a translation invariant state ω, we also check that $\int_G \gamma_g(\omega) d\mu(g)$ is a translation and γ_g- invariant state, where μ is the Haar measure. Thus there exists a translation and γ_g invariant ground state ω for H. If the ground states is a Choquet simplex i.e. any point in the ground states can be expressed as unique convex sum or integral of its extreme points, the supporting extremal states of ω are also translation and γ_g-invariant. This contradicts as any extreme point in the set of ground states is pure. $\blacksquare$

We are left to discuss few examples.

XY MODEL: We consider the exactly solvable XY model. The Hamiltonian H_{XY} of the XY model is determined by the following prescription:

$$H_{XY} = -\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\sigma_x^{(j)}\sigma_x^{(j+1)} + \sigma_y^{(j)}\sigma_y^{(j+1)}\} - 2\lambda \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_z^{(j)},$$

where λ is a real parameter stand for external magnetic field, $\sigma_x^{(j)}, \sigma_y^{(j)}$ and $\sigma_z^{(j)}$ are Pauli spin matrices at site j. It is well known [AMa] that ground state exists and unique. It is simple to verify that $\tilde{H} = H$ since we can rewrite H_{XY} as sum over element of the form $\sigma_x^{(j-1)}\sigma_x^{(j)} + \sigma_y^{(j-1)}\sigma_y^{(j)}$. Since the transpose of σ_x is itself, transpose of σ_y is $-\sigma_y$ and transpose of σ_z is itself, we also verify that $H_{XY}^t = H_{XY}$. Hence H_{XY} is real and lattice symmetric. Thus the unique ground state is pure translation invariant real and lattice symmetric. The Hamiltonian H_{XY} is $G = U(1) \subset SU(2)$ invariant and thus the ground state is also $U(1)$ invariant. Further ω is a non-split state if and only if $|\lambda| < 1$. For details we refer to [Ma2]. Thus by Theorem 4.4 $H = \{z \in S^1 : \psi\beta_z = \psi\} = \{1, -1\}$ if and only if $|\lambda| < 1$. $\blacksquare$

XYZ MODEL: Here we consider the prime example. The Hamiltonian H_{XYZ} of the spin s anti-ferromagnetic chain i.e. the Heisenberg's XYZ model is determined by the following formula:

$$H_{XYZ} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ S_x^{(j)} S_x^{(j+1)} + S_y^{(j)} S_y^{(j+1)} + S_z^{(j)} S_z^{(j+1)} \}$$

where $S_x^{(j)}, S_y^{(j)}$ and $S_z^{(j)}$ are representation in $2s+1$ dimensional of Pauli spin matrices σ_x, σ_y and σ_z respectively at site j, where s is half-odd integer or an integer. It is well known that H_{XYZ} is $SU(2)$ invariant. It is obvious that H is lattice symmetric. We leave the details to prove that it is real i.e. $H^t_{XYZ} = H_{XYZ}$ where H^t_{XYZ} is the real transpose. Thus the ground state for half-odd integer is not unique. ■

AKLT MODEL: Here we consider one well known example originated in [AKLT]. The Hamiltonian H_{AKLT} of the spin s anti-ferromagnetic chain is determined by the following formula:

$$H_{AKLT} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ S_x^{(j)} S_x^{(j+1)} + S_y^{(j)} S_y^{(j+1)} + S_z^{(j)} S_z^{(j+1)} \} + \frac{1}{3} \{ S_x^{(j)} S_x^{(j+1)} + S_y^{(j)} S_y^{(j+1)} + S_z^{(j)} S_z^{(j+1)} \}^2$$

where $S_x^{(j)}, S_y^{(j)}$ and $S_z^{(j)}$ are representation in $2s+1$ dimensional of Pauli spin matrices σ_x, σ_y and σ_z respectively at site j, where s is half-odd integer or an integer. It is well known that H_{AKLT} is $SU(2)$ invariant. Once more it is routine to check that H_{AKLT} is lattice symmetric and real. Thus by our main result the ground state for half-odd integer is not unique. Here we comment that H_{AKLT} with integer spin admits a unique ground state. ■

REFERENCES

- [Ac] A non-commutative Markov property, (in Russian), Functional. anal. i Prilozen 9, 1-8 (1975).
- [AM] Accardi, L., Mohari, A.: Time reflected Markov processes. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., vol-2 ,no-3, 397-425 (1999).

- [AKLT] Affleck, L.,Kennedy, T., Lieb, E.H., Tasaki, H.: Valence Bond States in Isotropic Quantum Antiferromagnets, Commun. Math. Phys. 115, 477-528 (1988).

- [AL] Affleck, L., Lieb, E.H.: A Proof of Part of Haldane's Conjecture on Spin Chains, Lett. Math. Phys, 12, 57-69 (1986).

- [AMa] Araki, H., Matsui, T.: Ground states of the XY model, Commun. Math. Phys. 101, 213-245 (1985).

- [Ar] Arveson, W.: Pure E_0-semigroups and absorbing states. Comm. Math. Phys 187 , no.1, 19-43, (1997)

- [BR] Bratteli, Ola., Robinson, D.W. : Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics, I,II, Springer 1981.

- [BJP] Bratteli, Ola., Jorgensen, Palle E.T. and Price, G.L.: Endomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, Quantization, nonlinear partial differential equations, Operator algebras, (Cambridge, MA, 1994), 93-138, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math 59, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RT 1996.

- [BJKW] Bratteli, Ola., Jorgensen, Palle E.T., Kishimoto, Akitaka and Werner Reinhard F.: Pure states on $\mathcal{O}_d$, J.Operator Theory 43 (2000), no-1, 97-143.

- [BJ] Bratteli, Ola; Jorgensen, Palle E.T. Endomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, II, Finitely correlated states on $\mathcal{O}_N$, J. Functional Analysis 145, 323-373 (1997).

- [DR] Dagotto, E., Rice. T.M.: Surprise on the way from one-two-dimensional quantum magnets: The ladder materials. Science 271, 618-623 (1996).

- [Ev] Evans, D.E.: Irreducible quantum dynamical semigroups, Commun. Math. Phys. 54, 293-297 (1977).
- [FNW1] Fannes, M., Nachtergaele, B., Werner, R.: Finitely correlated states on quantum spin chains, Commun. Math. Phys. 144, 443-490(1992).
- [FNW2] Fannes, M., Nachtergaele, B., Werner, R.: Finitely correlated pure states, J. Funct. Anal. 120, 511- 534 (1994).
- [Fr] Frigerio, A.: Stationary states of quantum dynamical semigroups, Comm. Math. Phys. 63 (1978) 269-276.
- [Ha] Hall, B.C. : Lie groups, Lie algebras and representations: an elementary introduction, Springer 2003.
- [Mo1] Mohari, A.: Markov shift on non-commutative probability, J. Funct. Anal. vol- 199 , no-1, 190-210 (2003) Elsevier Sciences.
- [Mo2] Mohari, A.: Markov shift on non-commutative probability-II, Axiv:math.OA/0505258, submitted to Journal of Functional Analysis.
- [Mo3] Mohari, A.: Detailed balance and Split property in quantum spin chain. Axiv:math-ph/0505035
- [Ma1] Matsui, T.: A characterization of pure finitely correlated states. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 1, no. 4, 647–661 (1998).
- [Ma2] Matsui, T.: The split property and the symmetry breaking of the quantum spin chain, Comm. Maths. Phys vol-218, 293-416 (2001)

- [Po] Popescu, Gelu: Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of non-commutating operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 316 no-2, 523-536 (1989)

- [Pow1] Powers, Robert T.: Anals of Mathematics 1967.

- [Pow2] Powers, Robert T.: An index theory for semigroups of $*$-endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors. Canad. J. Math. 40 (1988), no. 1, 86–114.

- [Ru] Ruell, D. : Statistical Mechanics, Benjamin, New York-Amsterdam (1969) .

- [Sa] Sakai, Shichir : Operator algebras in dynamical systems. The theory of unbounded derivations in C^*-algebras. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 41. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.